

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Bae Abertawe

Swansea Bay University Health Board


HEALTH BOARD RISK REGISTER February 2021





Aligning Risk with Swansea Bay University Health Board (SBUHB) Strategy

The Swansea Bay University Health Board (SBUHB) strategy is outlined in the figure below and all risks identified for inclusion on the Health Board Risk Register are mapped to our enabling objectives.



HEALTH BOARD RISK REGISTER DASHBOARD OF ASSESSED RISKS – February 2021

	5			 71: The total quantum for funding for addressing COVID 19 CLOSED 53: Compliance with Welsh Language Standards 54: No Deal Brexit 	 39: IMTP Statutory Responsibility 60: Cyber Security 62: Sustainable Corporate Services 64: H&S Infrastructure 70: Data Centre outages 	 16: Access to Planned Care 50: Access to Cancer Services 66: Access to Cancer Services - SACT 67: Access to Cancer Services - Radiotherapy 68: Pandemic Framework
Impact/Consequences	4			 13: Environment of Health Board Premises 36: Electronic Patient Record 41: Fire Safety Regulation Compliance 52: Engagement & Impact Assessment Requirements 	 01: Access to Unscheduled Care Service 27: Sustainable Clinical Services for Digital Transformation 37: Operational and strategic decisions are not data informed 43: DOLS Authorisation and Compliance with Legislation 48: Child & Adolescence Mental Health Services 49: TAVI Service 57: Non-compliance with Home Office Controlled Drug Licensing requirements 61: Paediatric Dental GA Service – Parkway 69: Adolescents being admitted to Adult MH wards Reduced from 20 to 16 	 03: Workforce Recruitment of Medical and Dental Staff 04: Infection Control 15: Population Health Improvement Increased from 15 to 20 51: Compliance with Nurse Staffing Levels (Wales) Act 2016 Reduced from 25 to 20 58: Ophthalmology Clinic Capacity 63: Screening for Fetal Growth Assessment in line with Gap-Grow (G&G) 65: CTG Monitoring in Labour Wards 73: There is potential for a residual cost base increase post COVID-19 as a result of changes to service delivery models and ways of working.
	3			72: Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the Health Board Capital Resource Limit and Capital Plan for 2020-21. Reduced from 20 to 15 then to 9		
	2					
	1					
С	XL	1	2	3	4	5
					Likelihood	

Risk Register Dashboard

Strategic Objective	Risk Reference	Description of risk identified	Initial Score	Current Score	Trend	Controls	Last Reviewed	Scrutiny Committee
Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care	1 (738)	Access to Unscheduled Care Service Failure to comply with Tier 1 target for Unscheduled Care could impact on patient and family experience of care.	20	16	→	¥	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
	4 (739)	Infection Control Failure to achieve infection control targets set by Welsh Government could impact on patient and family experience of care.	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	13 (841)	Environment of HB Premises Failure to meet statutory health and safety requirements.	16	12	→	¥	February 2021	Health and Safety Committee
	16 (840)	Access to Planned Care Failure to achieve compliance with waiting times, there is a risk that patients may come to harm. Also, financial risk not achieving targets.	16	25	÷	↑	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
	37 (1217)	Information Led Decisions Operational and strategic decisions are not data informed.	12	16	→	^	February 2021	Audit Committee
	39 (1297)	Approved IMTP – Statutory Compliance If the Health Board does not have an approved IMTP signed off by Welsh Government, primarily due to the inability to align performance and financial plans it will remain in escalation status, currently "targeted intervention".	16	20	÷	ŕ	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee

41 (1567)	Fire Safety Compliance Fire Safety notice received from the Fire Authority – MH&LD Unit. Uncertain position in regard to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in particular (as a high rise block) in respect of its compliance.re safety regulations.	15	12	→	¥	February 2021	Health and Safety Committee
43 (1514)	DoLS If the Health Board is unable to complete timely completion of DoLS Authorisation then the Health Board will be in breach of legislation and claims may be received in this respect.	16	16	→	→	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
48 (1563)	CAMHS Failure to sustain Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAHMS).	16	16	→	→	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
49 (922)	Trans-catheter Aortic Valve Implementation (TAVI) Failure to provide a sustainable service for Trans-catheter Aortic Valve Implementation (TAVI)	25	16	→	¥	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
50 (1761)	Access to Cancer Services Failure to sustain services as currently configured to meet cancer targets could impact on patient and family experience of care.	20	25	→	↑	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
57 (1799)	Controlled Drugs Non-compliance with Home Office Controlled Drug Licensing requirements.	20	16	→	¥	February 2021	Audit Committee

	63 (1605)	Screening for Fetal Growth Assessment in line with Gap-Grow Due to the scanning capacity there are significant challenges in achieving this standard.	12	20	→	↑	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	64 (2159)	Health and Safety Infrastructure Insufficient resource and capacity of the health, safety and fire function to maintain legislative and regulatory compliance.	20	20	÷	→	February 2021	Health and Safety Committee
	66 (1834)	Access to Cancer Services Delays in access to SACT treatment in Chemotherapy Day Unit	25	25	÷	→	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	67 (89)	Risk target breaches – Radiotherapy Clinical risk – Target breeches of radical radiotherapy treatment	16	25	→	↑	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	69 (1418)	Safeguarding Adolescents being admitted to adult MH wards Reduced from 20 to 16	20	16	¥	¥	February 2021	Quality & Safety Committee
	72 (2449)	Finance Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the Health Board Capital Resource Limit and Capital Plan for 2020-21, Reduced from 20 to 15 then to 9	20	9	¥	¥	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
	73 (2450)	Finance There is a potential for a residual cost base increase post COVID-19 as a result of changes to service delivery models and ways of working.	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Performance and Finance Committee
Excellent Staff	3 (843)	Workforce Recruitment Failure to recruit medical & dental staff	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Workforce and OD Committee

	51 (1759)	Nurse Staffing (Wales) Act Risk of Non Compliance with the Nurse Staffing (Wales) Act Reduced from 25 to 20	16	20	¥	¥	February 2021	Workforce and OD Committee
	62 (2023)	Sustainable Corporate Services Health Board's Annual Plan and organisational strategy, and with the skills, capability, behaviours and tools to successfully deliver in support of the whole organisation, and to do so in a way which respects and promotes the health and well-being of our staff and their work-life balance.	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Workforce and OD Committee
Digitally Enabled Care	27 (1035)	Sustained Clinical Services Inability to deliver sustainable clinical services due to lack of digital transformation.	16	16	→	→	February 2021	Audit Committee
	36 (1043)	Storage of Paper Records Failure to provide adequate storage facilities for paper records then this will impact on the availability of patient records at the point of care. Quality of the paper record may also be reduced if there is poor records management in some wards.	20	12	→	¥	February 2021	Audit Committee
	60 (2003)	Cyber Security – High level risk The level of cyber security incidents is at an unprecedented level and health is a known target.	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Audit Committee
	65 (329)	CTG Monitoring on Labour Wards Risk associated with misinterpreting abnormal CTG readings in delivery rooms.	16	20	→	↑	February 2021	Quality & Safety Committee

	70 (2245)	National Data Centre Outages The failure of national systems causes severe disruption across NHS Wales, affecting Primary and secondary care services.	20	20	→	→	February 2021	Audit Committee
Partnerships for Improving Health and Wellbeing	15 (737)	Population Health Targets Failure to achieve population health improvement targets leading to an increase in preventable disease amongst the population resulting in increased morbidity impacting on operational and financial pressures. Increased from 15 to 20	15	20	↑	^	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	58 (146)	Ophthalmology - Excellent Patient Outcomes There is a failure to provide adequate clinic capacity to support follow-up patients within the Ophthalmology specialty.	12	20	→	^	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	61 (1587)	Paediatric Dental GA Service – ParkwayIdentify alternative arrangements to Parkway Clinic for the delivery of dental paediatric GA services on the Morriston Hospital SDU site consistent with the needs of the population and existing WG and Health Board policies.	15	16	→	^	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee
	68 (2299)	Pandemic Framework Risk of declared pandemic due to Coronavirus Infectious Disease outbreak 2020.	20	25	→	↑	February 2021	Quality and Safety Committee

Partnerships for Care	52 (1763)	Statutory Compliance The Health Board does not have sufficient resource in place to undertake engagement & impact assess in line with Statutory Duties	16	12	→	¥	February 2021	Performance & Finance Committee
	53 (1762)	Welsh Language Standards Failure to fully comply with all the requirements of the Welsh Language Standards, as they apply to the University Health Board.	15	15	→	→	February 2021	Health Board (Welsh Language Group)
	54 (1724)	Brexit Failure to maintain services as a result of the potential no deal Brexit	20	15	→	¥	February 2021	Health Board (Emergency Preparedness Resilience and Response Group)

Risk Schedules

Datix ID Number: 738 Health & Care Standard: 5.1 Timely Care	HBR Ref Number: 1 Target Date: 31 st March 2020 Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer Assuring Committee: Performance and Finance Committee			
Objective: Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care				
Risk: If we fail to comply with Tier 1 target – Access to Unscheduled Care then this will have an impact on patient and family experience. Challenges with capacity /staffing across the Health and Social care sectors.	Date last reviewed: February 2021			
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: $4 \times 5 = 20$ Current: $4 \times 4 = 16$ Target: $3 \times 4 = 12$ 25 Level of Control = 50% 16 12 1	Rationale for current score: Due to current measures related to CO all non-urgent activity, Emergency Depareduced by nearly 50%, red call perform for the last 3 weeks has been in excess Singleton have predominantly been at mercognised that this is not likely to be months and therefore remains a high mercognised that this is not likely to be mercognised that therefore remains a high mercognised that therefo	artment and MIU att nance is at 65% and s of 75%. Both Morri risk level 1 for the pa naintained as we go sk.	tendance have d 4hr handover iston and ast 2 months. It is into the winter	
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What	1		
 Programme management arrangements are in place to improve Unscheduled Care performance. Daily Health Board wide conference calls/ escalation process in place. Regular reporting to Executive Team, Executive Board and Health Board/Quality and Safety Committee. Increased reporting as a result of escalation to targeted intervention status. 	Action Implementation of Phone First for ED as one the initiatives set out in the National Unscheduled Care Programme – six goals.	Lead Chief Operating Officer	Deadline 31 st March 2021	
 Targeted unscheduled care investment to support changes to front door service models/ workforce redesign/ patient flow. Weekly unscheduled care meeting implemented, led by COO and attended by Service Directors Development of new Acute Medical Services Model focused on increasing the provision of ambulatory care. Development of a Phone First for ED model in conjunction with 111 to reduce demand. 	Phased implementation of the Acute Medical Services Redesign. Business case for ambulatory care element of service redesign submitted WG.	Chief Operating Officer	31st March 2021	
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) • Executive monitoring/support to achieve improvement plans on a weekly basis.	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should The need to deliver sustained service.	we seek?)		

Current Risk Rating 4 x 4 = 16	Additional Comments Due to current measures related to COVID 19 including the cancelled all non-urgent activity, Emergency Department and MIU attendance have reduced by nearly 50%, red call performance is at 65% and 4hr handover for the last 3 weeks has been in excess of 75%. Both Morriston and Singleton have been risk level 1 for the past 2 weeks. It is recognised that this is not likely to be maintained and therefore remains a high risk. 23.4.20 Action closed 31.01.21 - Group established to focus on a reduction in the number of Medically Fit for Discharge (MFFD) patients with Local Authority. Action closed 7.1.21 - Mobile unit to allowing cohorting of patients at entrance of Morriston ED to release ambulance crews. Mobile due to be delivered end of November and in place early December.
-----------------------------------	---

Datix ID Number: 843	HBR Ref Number: 3					
Health & Care Standard: Staff & Resources 7.1 Workforce Objective: Excellent Staff Risk: Workforce recruitment of medical & dental staff Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 4 = 20 Current: 4 x 5 = 20 Target: 4 x 3 = 12 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Target Date: 31 st March 2021 Director Lead: Kathryn Jones, Interim Director Development Assuring Committee: Workforce and OD Colognation Date last reviewed: February 2021 Rationale for current score: National shortages of numbers in some areas • Unable to recruit sufficient numbers of training grades to colspan="2">Output • Unable to fill Consultant grade posts in some areas • Unable to fill Consultant grade posts in some areas • Unable to fill Consultant grade posts in some areas	ommittee s can lead to: ainees to fulfil rotas on complete rotas ome specialties with ac	all sites dverse effects on			
Level of Control = 70% Date added to the HB risk register April 2012	staff. Rationale for target score: This remains a challenge and is also a nation	al problem.				
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)					
 Regular monitoring of recruitment position with reports to Executive Team and Board via Medical Director and Medical Workforce Board. Specialty based local workforce boards established to monitor and control specific issues. The new HB Workforce & OD Committee will seek assurance of medical workforce plans to maintain services. Engagement of the Deanery about recruitment position. 	ActionMedical training initiatives pursued in a number of specialties to ease junior doctor recruitmentThe Medical Workforce Board continues to monitor recruitment and junior doctor's rotas.Continue to recruit internationally.	Medical training initiatives pursued in a number of specialties to ease junior doctor recruitmentInterim Director W&OD.31st March 2021The Medical Workforce Board continues to monitor recruitment and junior doctor's rotas.Interim Director W&OD.31st March 2021				
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) General situation monitored through W&OD Committee Communication with Deanery Recruitment campaigns Monitoring by Executive Teams and specialty based local workforce boards 	W&OD. Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) Locum cover Adequate supply of doctors who can work in this country Ability to flexibly deploy doctors in training.					
Current Risk Rating 4 x 5 = 20	Additional Comments Risk covers all hospitals and multiple specialties. Participated in BAPIO in November, appointed 25 doctors. Working with Medacs to replace long term locums e.g. in Haematology and Histopathology. Developing an Invest to Save Bid for international overseas recruitment for nursing to upscale the activity for 20/21. Recruitment remains a challenge but is also a national problem. The problem persists but the restriction on overseas travel is not the same as in the first phase. We are still recruiting staff from					

overseas but have had to provide hotel accommodation for them to quarantine for 14 days before they can commence work. Supply issues to the COVID areas however have been mitigated by using doctors from other specialties where demand is currently low and we are looking to over establish locum posts in medicine, ITU and Anaesthetics. Some issues with the lack of NHS experience for many locums which means we have had to consider some off contract agencies.

Datix ID Number: 739 Health & Care Standa	rd: 2.4 Infection Prevention & Control & Decontamination	HBR Ref Number: 4 Target Date: 31 st March 2021				
Objective: Best Value	Outcomes from High Quality Care	Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursing and Patient Experience Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee				
Risk: Failure to achieve infection control targets set by Welsh Government, increase risk to patients and increased costs associated with length of stays.		Date last reviewed: February 2021				
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 5 = 20 Current: 4 x 5 = 20 Target: 4 x 3 = 12		Rationale for current score: Currently under targeted intervention for are variable with monthly fluctuations.	or rates of infection, achiev	ement of targets		
Level of Control = 40%		Rationale for target score:				
Date added to the HB risk register January 2016	War ^{2D} Apr ^{2D} War ^{2D} W ^{2D} W ^{2D} Ap ^{2D} Sep ^{2D} Oct ^{2D} Nov ^{2D} De ^{c2D} Jar ^{2D} Feb ^{2D} — Target Score — Risk Score	Once the infection control team is fu capability the infection control team will drive service improvements. In addition built into the new emergency departme to appropriately manage patients at the robust clean of patient rooms follow infection.	Il be able to support the clir on, a negative pressure isol nt at Morriston hospital prov ne front door. Review and	ical areas more and ation facility is being /iding another facility implementation of a		
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)				
 Regular monitoring 		Action	Lead	Deadline		
 Policies, procedure Regular reporting t ICNet information r Infection control tea A permanent infect Recruitment is ong 	es and guidelines in place hrough internal processes nanagement system for infections is in place am support the clinical teams for issues relating to infection control ion control doctor has been recruited oing. Decontamination lead & assistant director of nursing in infection control appointed. provement programme	Ongoing infection control team involvement in site level estates projects to ensure appropriate isolation facilities are factored in from the outset	Senior Infection Control Matron	31 st March 2021		
Assurances (How do we know if th • Ongoing moni	ne things we are doing are having an impact?) itoring of infection control rates and feedback provided to delivery units rol Committee monitors infection rates and identifies key actions to drive	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should ICNet provides information linked with inpatients since the connection was ma maintained by the infection control tea duplication.	PAS relating to patients what add therefore additional matrices additional matrices additional matrices additional matrix additional matrix and the second s	anual records are		

 Sub groups to the infection control committee such as the decontamination group provide the 	
assurances and operationally drive key areas of work.	
Clear assurance framework in place at Corporate level with Health Board Infection Prevention &	
Control Committee, Health Board C. Difficile Infection Improvement Group; Corporate Infection	
Prevention & Control Nursing Team; Water Safety Group; and Directly Managed Unit Infection	
Prevention & Control Groups.	
 Incident reporting 	
Root Cause Analysis to ensure monitoring and lessons continued to be learned from HCAI.	Additional Comments
Current Risk Rating	
5 x 4 = 20	Significant progress to date however trajectory not met overall. Work underway on
	recruitment to IPC, a work plan to improve practice and improved information
	available for reporting, oversite and also investigation.
	13/06/19 Continue to make progress against annual IMTP profiles, however,
	incidence within the Health Board remains above that for the NHS in Wales.
	Recruitment to Matron IPC post on 03/06/19. Work in progress to improve incident
	reporting in relation to infections and pilot to commence on post infection review
	process.
	Appropriate environmental decontamination resource to be identified and staff
	trained in its appropriate use.
	Compliance with IPC standard precautions and ANTT training and competence
	needs to be improved.
	A review of cleaning of shared equipment such as beds, commodes is required to reduce risks of transmission.
	Increase in cleaning hours across the Units is required to meet national minimum
	standards. Dedicated protected decant facilities are required for each Unit to ensure
	appropriate cleaning.
	Sufficient isolation rooms required to manage patient's appropriately.
	Estate needs to be updated and maintained to reduce risks.
	IPCC resources required to support community and primary care.
	Increase numbers of Piis on the last two months. HB over trajectory on a number of
	the TI Tier 1 targets. Increased level of risk due to insufficient domestic hours at
	Singleton hospital and significant vacancies at Morrison, lack of decant facilities, over
	occupancy in bays. Approved for increase in establishment at IBG in October 2019.
	4 new posts approved. Now within VCP Process plus 1 existing band 6 vacancy. All
	5 posts to be advertised in January 2020.
	Although there has been some improvement against TI Tier 1 targets, it is
	challenging to sustain. PII currently at Morriston Hospital. Reduction initiatives are
	compromised by over-crowding of wards as a result of increased activity, over-
	occupancy, staff vacancies, and where activity levels are such that it is not possible
	to decant bays to effectively clean patient areas where there have been infections.

From an All Wales perspective, not yet achieving NHS Wales Infection Reduction Expectations. 26.05.20 - Incidence of C. difficile infection has been increasing over the last 7 months from an average of 11 cases per month to an average of 13 cases per month. The Welsh Government target is <8 cases per month. There has been an improvement in E. coli and Klebsiella bacteraemia cases, but these are still above the Welsh Government targets.

09.07.20 - incidence of C. difficile has increase further to an average of 16 cases per month in the first quarter (this is double the Welsh Government monthly expectation). The incidence of Staph. aureus bacteraemia also is higher than Welsh Government expectations, however, there continues to be reductions in E. coli and Klebsiella bacteraemia cases.

Public Health Wales will make C. difficle genomic results available to the Health Board (current anticipated date Sept. 2020). This may facilitate a better understanding of the epidemiology of this infection within the Health Board. 18.08.20 - recruitment now complete. All staff now in post and on induction. 3.11.20 - In the Written Statement: Escalation and Intervention Arrangements on 7th October 2020, Minister for Health & Social Services, VG, announced that there has been a clearer approach to performance and an improvement in some of the measures under consideration, including infections. As a consequence of improved performance in a number of the TI areas, SBUHB has been de-escalated to 'enhanced monitoring'.

It is challenging to attain improvements in reduction of targeted infections. However, there has been year-on-year improvement in the following key infections: Staph. aureus, E. coli, Klebsiella, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteraemia cases. Of concern, there has been an approximate 75% year-on-year increase in C. difficle cases.

COVID has led to increased compliance with training for PPE. Increased ICN presence clinically supporting DUs with the increase in resource and a full 7 day ICN service.

29/01/21 - the rate of increase in C. difficile cases has slowed, from a 75% increase year-on-year in November, to an approximate 20% increase in January 2021. There has been an improvement in Staph. aureus, E.coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteraemia, but a worsening of position in relation to Klebsiella spp. bacteraemia. Increased clinical presence of ICNs on wards, the extension of the service to include Primary Care and a 7 day service continues, DD

Datix ID Number: 841		HBR Ref Number: 13		
	e Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety	Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Best Value Outcomes		Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer/Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy		
		Assuring Committee: Health and Safety Committee		
Risk: Health & Safety Compliance – Environment of Premises. Risk relates to compliance in terms of appropriate accommodation in line with Health and Safety Regulations.		Date last reviewed: February 2021		
		Detionals for current accurs		
Risk Rating 30 (consequence x likelihood): 25 Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 20		Rationale for current score: HSE issued ten improvement notices.		
			afety requirements co	uld have an
Current: $4 \times 3 = 12$	15	Lack of accommodation to meet statutory/health and safety requirements could have a adverse impact on citizens, staff, financial and operational performance.		
Target: $4 \times 3 = 12$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		nai periormanoe.	
Level of Control	5	Rationale for target score:		
= 90%	0			
Date added to the HB risk	Nerra Arra Nerra India india india berga certo arra Nonera Deca iera tenat	Risk assessments of premises.		
register April 2012	Risk Score Target Score			
Controls (Nhat are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
	ance linked to health & safety/fire issues flagged through Health &	Action	Lead	Deadline
• •	ty Committees and actions agreed to mitigate impacts.	Develop a strategy to improve primary & community	Service Group	31 st March 202
v	meetings held regarding service changes for all 4 acute hospital	services estate.	Director P&C	
sites.		Develop BJC's to improve the infrastructure of the 3	Assistant Director	31 st March 202
 Primary Care developmer 	ts required.	acute hospital sites (not including NPTH).	- Estates	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) The Cabinet Secretary for Health & Social Services set the initial pipeline of health and care centres to be delivered by 2020-21 and the following projects identified for the Health Board Penclawdd Health Centre - refurbishment/redevelopment proposal (£0.800m at 16-17 prices) – now completed Murton Community Clinic – refurbishment/redevelopment proposal (£0.400m at 16-17 prices) – now completed Swansea Wellness Centre – new build development (£10.000m at 16-17 prices) SOC submitted to WG. FBC under development for submission June 2021. Cost projection significantly higher that stated here but WG aware and are members of the Project Board. BJC Environmental Infrastructure replacement of Estates AHU plant and Morriston electrical Sub Station 6 all designed up and tendered through Design for Life procurement process. 		Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)		
	Current Risk Rating	Additional Comm	ents	
	4 x 3 = 12	Planned interviews to take on board a SCP 1 ST / 2 ND W	leek of November 20.	3 months to
		undertake verification of our design by the SCP then s	ubmit to the WG for an	proval and fundi

Datix ID Number: 737 Health & Care Standard: St	aying Healthy 1.1 Health Promotion	HBR Ref Number: 15 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Partnerships for Improving Health and Wellbeing		Director Lead: Keith Reid, Director of Public Health Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee		
Risk: If we fail to achieve population health improvement targets leading to an increase in preventable disease amongst the population resulting in increased morbidity impacting on operational and financial pressures.		Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 3 = 15 Current: 5 x 4 = 20 Target: 3 x 3 = 9	20 -15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Rationale for current score: If we fail to prevent a serious outbreak by effectively achieving herd immuni- population through immunisation and vaccination programmes, or to effective manage an outbreak by disrupting the spread, this will result in serious harm individual, maybe death, and pressure on health services, disruption to flow business continuity and reputational damage to the health board and public team.		fectively harm to flow,
Level of Control = 60% Date added to the HB risk register 26.01.16	Nat ^{2D} Rot ^{2D} Na ^{42D} N ^{42D} N ^{42D} R ^{42D} Sep ^{2D} Oct ^{2D} No ^{42D} De ^{c2D} So ^{42D} Feb ^{2D} — Target Score — Risk Score	Rationale for target score: Manage preventable disease.		
Contro	ols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
 Public Health Strate Internal Audit Manager 	•••	Action Deliver immunisation awareness training for pre- school settings to promote key vaccination messages	Lead Consultant Public Health Medicine	Deadline 31 st March 2021
 Strategic Immunisat MMR Task & Finish Childhood Imms Group 	ion Group group oup;	Contribute to the implementation of recommendations made in the "MMR Immunisation: process mapping of the child's journey" report.	Consultant Public Health Medicine	31 st March 2021
 Primary Care Influenza Group Support from PHW Health Protection 		Continue to promote the benefits of immunisation through Healthy Schools and Pre-Schools e- bulletins	Consultant Public Health Medicine	31 st March 2021
-	n gs we are doing are having an impact?) is over 70%, we are the 2 nd highest in Wales. All other childhood imms tory.	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) The need to deliver sustained service.		
Current Risk Rating 5 x 4 = 20		Additional Commen Scrutiny by internal audit, raise awareness, encourag production work with the public. The impact of COVID-19 has been to disrupt usual p	ge uptake, target po	•

disruption is ongoing.
Control measures have had a mixed impact on behaviours associated with health eg
ability to undertake exercise has been negatively affected.
There will be a legacy of adverse psychological effects which will require community-
based approaches to mitigate. This is likely to require a sustained response over
several years.
COVID-19 has had a disproportionate impact on those with existing poor health or
underlying risk factors and also impacted more severely on those areas of high
deprivation. Overall inequities in health are likely to increase as a consequence.
The risk rating probably needs to be increased to 20 – likelihood is probably 5 and
impact 4 – it will require the development of a mitigation strategy in response.

Datix ID Number: 840		HBR Ref Number: 16		
Health & Care Standard: 5.1 Timely Care Objective: Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care		Target Date: 31 st March 2021	Officer	
Objective. Dest value Outcomes nonningin Quality Care		Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer Assuring Committee: Performance and Finance Committee		
Risk: Access and Planned Care. If we fail to achieve compliance with waiting times there is a		Date last reviewed: February 2021		
risk that patients may come to harm. Further, the health board will face financial risk with Welsh		Date last reviewed. Tebruary 2021		
Government if the agreed tar				
Risk Rating		Rationale for current score:		
(consequence x likelihood):		The cancellation of all non-urgent activity has	increased the backlo	o of planned care
Initial: $4 \times 4 = 16$	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	cases across the organisation. Whilst mitigatir		
Current: 5 x 5 = 25	20	been put in place new referrals are still being		
Target: 4 x 2 = 8		volumes. The significant reduction in theatre a		
5	-8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	of patients now breaching 36 and 52 week thr		0
Level of Control		Rationale for target score:		
= 90%	war? April war? war? wr? wr? wr? sw?? sep? oce? wor? pee? inn't cap?'			
Date added to the HB	Way by Way In, In bus det Or Ho. Der 19, ter	There is scope to reduce the likelihood score	to reduce the Risk to	an acceptable level
risk register				
January 2013				
Controls	(What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		?)
• Post Covid 19 - there is r	no requirement to meet RTT target in 2020/21 the focus is on	Action	Lead	Deadline
	ring that the patients with the high clinical priority are treatment first.	Development of a whole system model for	Service Directors	26th February 2021
The Health Board is following the Royal College of Surgeons guidance for all surgical		NPTH as a centre for Orthopaedic and		
procedures and patients	on the waiting list have been categorised accordingly.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of		
procedures and patientsA risk assessment based	I system for outpatient is awaited.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital		
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su 	l system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements		
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews trad 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews trac in-year waiting times risk 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews trad in-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews trackin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews trackin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity	Service Directors	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packat 	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance		26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. mgs we are doing are having an impact?)	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity		26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. hgs we are doing are having an impact?) place to ensure patients with greatest clinical need are treated first.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we ser	ek?)	26 th February 2021
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. Ags we are doing are having an impact?) place to ensure patients with greatest clinical need are treated first. Current Risk Rating	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we set Additional C	ek?)	
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. hgs we are doing are having an impact?) place to ensure patients with greatest clinical need are treated first.	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we set Additional C The cancellation of all non-urgent activity due	ek?) comments to COVID-19 has inc	reased the backlog o
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. Ags we are doing are having an impact?) place to ensure patients with greatest clinical need are treated first. Current Risk Rating	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we see Additional C The cancellation of all non-urgent activity due planned care cases across the organisation.	ek?) comments to COVID-19 has inc Whilst mitigating meas	reased the backlog o
 procedures and patients A risk assessment based Monthly planned care su performance reviews tradin-year waiting times risk Outsourcing of capacity i Weekly calls with Units to Monthly performance and Modest investment packa Assurances (How do we know if the thir	I system for outpatient is awaited. pported delivery board in place, chaired by CEO. Monthly ck progress against delivery. Flexible resource identified to manage s. Weekly executive support meetings in place in high risk areas. s being considered for some specialist services. o support delivery and monitor performance. d finance meetings between executive team and service directors. age agreed to support additional activity to increase capacity. Ags we are doing are having an impact?) place to ensure patients with greatest clinical need are treated first. Current Risk Rating	Spinal services, to include the scoping of ambulant trauma options and capital requirements Scope and undertake an option appraisal process for a PACU model at Singleton and NPTH to support enhanced care complexity Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we set Additional C The cancellation of all non-urgent activity due	ek?) comments to COVID-19 has inc Vhilst mitigating meas re still being accepted	reased the backlog or sures such as virtual which is adding to

increasing the number of patients now breaching 36 and 52 week thresholds.
Action completed - Patient Prioritisation and Management 1/12/2020.
Action closed - Develop sustainability plans for specialties through the emerging Clinical
Services Plan. Speciality sustainability plans will be reflected in the Annual Plan 21/22,
as part of the Planned care work programme.

Datix ID Number: 1035	Effective Cove 2.1 Clinically Effective Cove	HBR Ref Number: 27		
Objective: Digitally enable	Effective Care 3.1 Clinically Effective Care ed care			
Transformation. There are insufficient reso invest in the delivery support the growth in	nation Inability to deliver sustainable clinical services due to lack of Digital burces to: of the ABMU Digital strategy, utilisation of existing and new digital solutions hology infrastructure and the end of its useful life.			
(consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 5 x 2 =10 Level of Control = 50% Date added to the HB risk register 2012	16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	 Rationale for current score: C – Reliance on digital ways of working has increased. Loss of IT ser greater impact on ability to provide clinical care. Lack of investment in solutions to make services more effective will mean clinical service pr become unsustainable. L- The Digital response to COVID has ensured that our people and esservices have continued to be provided during the pandemic. This resmeant the issuing of over 2,000 mobile devices and the escalation of digital solutions that had previously flagged as Tier 2 in the IMTP plar process such as MS365 and attend anywhere. As a result of the supparrangements required to maintain sustainable digital services needs increased eg. Volume of calls a month to the IT helpdesk have increat approximately 50%. CTM have also started the process to start ceasing parts of the Digital SLA. AS flagged during the disaggregation process Digital services for would not be sustainable if 28% of resources were transferred to CTM economies of scale etc. Rationale for target score: C – Of failure will increase as the reliance and proliferation of the use solutions increases. L – Investment will mean the support mechanisms, rate of failure a deliver solutions that meet the needs of users will improve sustainable 		in new digital provision will essential esponse has if a number of anning poort s to be eased by tal Services for SBUHB TM due to e of digital and ability to ainable digital
Co	ontrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	services. There will however always be an inherent Mitigating actions (What more sh		
 Digital strategy h Capital priority gr into the annual di 	as been approved by the Health Board roup for the HB considers digital risks for replacement technology which is fed iscretionary capital plan ws for investment requests in projects to be submitted to the HB for	Action Lead Ensure informatics prioritisation process is Assistant		Deadline 31 st March 2021

 consideration and provides scrutiny to ensure Digital resources required are considered for all projects Informatics prioritisation process has been introduced to ensure requests for digital solutions are considered in terms of alignment to the strategy objective, technical solutions and financial implications HB has invested £900k recurrently in the project staffing resources to facilitate the delivery of the Informatics Strategic Outline Plan Working closely with WG to identify funding streams to support investment in digital including the approval of the Informatics Strategic Outline Plan 	Ensure business cases requiring digital services include appropriate implementation and support costs. Work with finance and the Health Board leadership team to identify additional revenue streams	Assistant Informatics Business Manager Assistant Informatics Business Manager	31 st March 2021 31 st March 2021
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Progress has been made in securing capital investment both internally and externally for new developments IBG and CPG processes are in place and ensuring highest technology replacement risks are being addressed There are 22 active projects in place and being delivered Digital enablement is a cornerstone of the organization strategy. Two of the strategies, 8 areas, of focus are digital enablement. WG have announced (Oct 19) £50m investment into Digital Transformation in 19/20. The HB are awaiting final confirmation of its allocation which is indicated to be £1,390k capital and £1,060k revenue. Whilst this is under what was requested it will be utilised against priority requirements for the HB. 	difficult/less effective Revenue model for support unclear given the financial pressures of the organisation. as, are Ok		
Current Risk Rating 4 x 4 = 16	Additional Commen This is further impacted by the boundary change impact on resources and capability to deliver digita Internal processes have been established to ensu included in Business cases developed by Info Informatics at IBG and the Scrutiny Panel. Strategic Outline Plan based on the three year IMTE Board on the 30th January 2020. Three year plan to be developed in line with the process The Strategic Outline Plan will be based or be developed in line with the Health Boards IMTP I The updated Strategy digital overview, priorities presented to January 2020 Health Board. –The Ad off 31/1/2020 within Datix and progress reported th	e which could h I services going re that all inform ormatics. Repre P will be presente Health boards the Three Year Planning process and maturity as ction has therefo	forward. hatics costs are sentation from ed to the Health IMTP Planning Plan which will s. esessment was re been closed

Datix ID Number: 1043	HBR Ref Number: 36
Health & Care Standard: Effective Care 3.1 Clinically Effective Care	Target Date: 31 st March 2021
Objective: Digitally enabled care	Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer Assuring Committee: Audit Committee
Risk: Paper Record Storage: Lack of a single electronic record means there is greater re	
provision of the paper record. If we fail to provide adequate storage facilities for paper reco	
vill impact on the availability of patient records at the point of care. Quality of the paper rec	
be reduced if there is poor records management in some wards.	
Risk Rating	Rationale for current score:
(consequence x	C - Inability to find records for patients could delay care/increase length of stay
likelihood):	over 15 days. Could also mean patients receive incorrect treatment
Initial: 4 x 5 = 20 Current: 4 x 3= 12	L - we know this happens from incidents raised
Target: 3 x 3 =9	-12 - 12
Level of Control -9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	9 9 Rationale for target score:
= 70%	
Date added to the	C - Inability to find records for patients could delay care/increase length of stay
HB risk register June 2016 Nath Royal Nath Jun 2	over 15 days. Could also mean patients receive incorrect treatment
June 2016 And	L – RFID and digitalisation of the health record will reduce the constraints of the
Target Score Risk Score	current filing methodology and reduce the volume of paper being added to the record. Further digitalisation of the paper record will reduce the reliance of
	clinicians on the paper record.
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)
	Action Lead Deadline
 Outpatient continuation Sheet has been rolled out and will form part of the plan to n 	
Outpatients to paper light.	Information Officer 2021
MTED has been rolled out across Morriston and commenced in NPT	Continue with roll out of digitisation of Interim Chief 30 th March
Nursing Documentation (WNCR) piloted successfully in NPT	health record with a focus on Outpatients Information Officer 2021 and Nursing documentation
 Temporary retention and destruction plans are in place. Alternative storage arrangements are being identified and utilised where appropriat 	<u> </u>
 Alternative storage analygements are being identified and utilised where appropriat Ward protocols and audits have been rolled out across sites. 	
 RFID project now approved. Implementation process has started and will change the 	
records are filed and release storage capacity.	
 Roll out plan for WCP is in place and being enacted as outlined in the SOP 	
All records must be documented and risk assessed in the Information Asset Regist	er (IAR)
Develop a case for improved storage solution both for paper and digitally.	
Assurances	Gaps in assurance
Assurances How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	(What additional assurances should we seek?)
Assurances	(What additional assurances should we seek?)

 Health Records performance reports to be developed in line with RFID technology Attainment of the Tier 1 Health Board target for clinical coding completeness which relies on the timely availability and quality of the Paper record Monitoring complaints and incident reporting Gaps in Assurance Investment required supporting the delivery and operational costs of the Digital Strategy. Reliance on NWIS for delivery of the solution for a fully electronic patient record. Impact of the infected Blood Enquiry on the health boards ability to destroy notes is increasing the pressure on storage capacity and negating some of the mitigating actions that are being put in place 	Reliance on NWIS for delivery of the solution for a fully electronic patient record Impact of the Infected Blood Enquiry on the Health Boards ability to destroy notes.
Current Risk Rating 4 x 3 = 12	Additional Comments All records must be documented and risk assessed in the Information Asset Register (IAR). This will mean that the risk can be quantified and understood. Action - All SDU and corporate leads Health Records Department will work with HB colleagues to develop a case for improved storage solution both for paper and digitally. In regard to the plans for the HB wide storage work, given the delay with the implementation of RFID, the timescales have been moved back slightly. Timescales for this work is as followed (based on current allocation of resources / no additional support. A dedicated project resource would get this done quicker) Scoping and requirements gathering exercise by October 19 - Options developed – Q4 2019-20 - Business case - Q1 2020-21 - Implementation Q3/4 2020-21 Discussions are ongoing with Welsh Health Supplies and Welsh Government on the availability of All Wales Records solution, the outcome of this scoping work will inform the options of the Business Case. Electronic results availability completed by August 2019. Other electronic documents ongoing. Timescales for COVID and are now as follows:- - Options developed — Q1 20/21 - Business case - Q2 20/21 - Implementation Q1 21/22 Discussions are ongoing with Welsh Health Supplies and Welsh Government on the availability of All Wales Records solution, the outcome of this scoping work will inform the options of the Business Case. Electronic results availability completed by August 2019. Other electronic documents ongoing. Timescales for completion of the Health Supplies and Welsh Government on the availability of All Wales Records solution, the outcome of this scoping work will inform the options of the Business Case. Electronic results availability completed by August 2019. Other electronic documents ongoing.

Datix ID Number: 1217	ective Care 3.1 Safer & Clinically Effective Care	HBR Ref Number: 37 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Best Value Outcon		Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer Assuring Committee: Audit Committee		
 Business intelligence and Users are unable to access 	egic decisions are not data informed:- information already available is not utilized ss the information they require to make decisions at the right time ction including patient outcome measures	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 3 = 12 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 4 x 2 = 8 Level of Control	-16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	Rationale for current score: C – Opportunity cost of not acting of improvement are missed, failures ar in adverse national publicity and/or L - Dashboard utilisation is lower that Rationale for target score:	e not identified in a tim delays in care/increase	nely manner resulting ed length of stay.
= 70% Date added to the HB risk register June 2016	Watr ^{2D} Watr ^{2D} Watr ^{2D} Wa ^{2D} W ^{2D} Set ^{2D} Oct ^{2D} Wo ^{2D} Det ^{2D} Watr ^{2D} te ^{2D²} te ^{2D²}	C- will remain the same or increase L- Investment in BI will lead to more higher the use of information at ope	information be availab	ole and used. The
	ols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
 Strategy developed but r The Health Board has concerning stock for both C 	Developed and are being used to inform the decision making process at Gold not presented to Board due to COVID19 ontinued to invest in the provision of Dashboards and we have doubled our QlikSense and QlikView Business Intelligence Platforms in 2018/19.	Action Investment and implementation of system to record patient outcome measures	Lead Assist Information Business Manager	Deadline 24 th September 2021
Unit Dashboard and WarSafety Huddle implement	ncluding Mortality, Clinical Variation and Primary & Community Care Delivery rd Dashboard Ited in Morriston is improving data quality and improving operational working rmation Manager appointed, who will take the lead for creating a Business	Produce Business Intelligence Strategy and get signed off by the Board	Assist Information Business Manager	30 th April 2021
 coding targets and data of Flexible operational man programme in place for r Short term funding security 	ways of working introduced within the coding department have achieved quality nagement of Coding Teams on a daily basis to cope with demand. Training	Produce BI strategy implementation plan outlining investment requirements in capacity and capability	Assist Information Business Manager	30 th June 2021
•	g with service leads in Planning and Finance to develop meaningful ashboards to present information in a user friendly way			

 New technologies being reviewed for advanced analytics and integration into a new Health Board analytics platform. Ensuring that the Health Board has representation on national groups such as the newly formed Advanced Analytics Group (AAG), all Wales Business Intelligence and Data Warehousing Group and Welsh Modelling Collaborative. 	
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)
More evidence based and proactive decisions being made.	Culture of the organisation needs to change to focus on information and
Dashboard technology; assist in developing indicators / triangulating information to identify issues	Business intelligence for operational rather than reporting purposes. Capability of
	operational staff to utilise the tools and capacity to act on the intelligence
	provided.
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments
4 x 4 = 16	PROMS currently being collected in Lung Cancer (Morriston) August 2019,
	Cataracts August 2019, Hip & Knee (Morriston) November 2018, and Breast
	Cancer June 2019 using PKB. Also Heart failure, April 2019, in one Community
	Clinic.
	COVID19 Dashboards Developed and are being used to inform the decision
	making process at Gold
	13.08.20 – Please note amended timescales against the actions.

Datix ID Number: 1297		HBR Ref Number: 39		
	afe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety	Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective : Demonstrating Va		Director Lead: Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy		/ Otrata and
	ard fails to have an approvable IMTP for 2018/19 then we will lose public	Assuring Committee: Performance and Finance Committee / Strategy,		Strategy,
confidence and breach legisl		Planning and Commissioning Group He	ealth Board	
	egic decisions are not data informed:-	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
	an IMTP signed off by WG, primarily due to the inability to align performance			
	advised that the Health Board needed to have a clear strategic direction by			
	I Strategy and refreshing our Clinical Services Plan. In September 2016, the			
	I to 'targeted intervention' and having an approved IMTP is a key factor in			
improving our WG monitoring	j status.			
Risk Rating		Rationale for current score:		
(consequence x likelihood):		Our Organisational Strategy was appro		vember 2018
Initial: $4 \times 4 = 16$	- 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	This Annual Plan includes a balanced f		
Current: $5 \times 4 = 20$	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	We have agreed with Welsh Governme		our detailed
Target: 4 x 2 = 8		planning and submit an approvable IM		
Level of Control	<u>- 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8</u>	We have continued the work from January onwards on our detailed plans		ailed plans to
= 70%		submit an approvable IMTP when read	5	
Date added to the HB		Quarterly and half year plans submitted		
risk register	to to a a a a a a a a a	WG expectations for 21/22 to be confirm		
July 2017	North April North 14. 10 14. 10 14. 10 20 20 20 20 000 10 1000 Decil 1000 10 1000 10 1000 10 1000 10 1000 10 1	annual plan for all organisations for 21/	22 to be submitted Mar	ch 21
		Rationale for target score:		
		If the IMTP is approved it is likely our targeted intervention status will be i when next reviewed and the risk can be closed. Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		us will be improved
0				- 0)
	rols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)			
•	/ approved by the Board in November 2018	Action	Lead	Deadline
	approved by the Board in January 2019	Development of Annual Plan within 3	Director of Strategy,	31 st March 2021
	to Board and approved in January for submission to Welsh Government,	year context to be considered By	Director of Finance	
accepted as a draft		board in Jan 21	& Director of	
 Good feedback receive 	ed on the document.		Workforce & OD.	
	s of the Bridgend transfer, the CEOs of CTM and SB UHBs have formally	Final plan to be submitted to Board	Director of Strategy	31 st March 2021
asked WG for support	to resolve the issues and formal arbitration process was initiated by WG.	for approval for submission to WG.		
 The results of the arbitr 	ation is now received as is the outcome of the Due Diligence Review.	rr · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	ogramme to deliver the Organisational Strategy and CSP including			
	vas established in April 2019			
	rough our CSP Programme and IMTP process will work up detailed plans to			
	hree year plan in line with the national timescales.			
	del and Delivery Support Team will contribute to delivery of the financial			
plan.				
•	ee-year context was submitted to Board and approved in March 2020 for			
	SBIT Health Board Risk Register – Last	Lundatad 0 Manak 0004	I	I

 submission to Welsh Government, accepted as a record of progress Good feedback received on the document. National IMTP Processes suspended in March due to the Covid-19 outbreak – and remain suspended Quarterly Operational Plans developed and submitted in line with national guidance Welsh Government written statement published on the 7 October 2020 advising that SBUHB been de-escalated from targeted intervention status to 'enhanced monitoring' status. 			
Additional Comments IMTP Executive Steering Group in place for development of the integrated medium term plan. Integrated	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) EIA in development for PFC assurance		
Planning Group in place to co-ordinate Transformation and planning activities and approaches • Performance and Finance Plans are be assured by the P&F Committee before presentation to Board	QIAs in development for joint PFC/Q&S assurance		
•Through monthly IMTP briefings, TI meetings and bi-annual JET meeting with WG – planning approach and emerging plans discussed and WG fully supportive of the direction of travel.			
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments		
4 x 5 = 20	Need to note that P&F only looks at finance and performance, not the whole IMTP		
	approval – that sits with Board. The W&OD Committee eg reviews the workforce		
	plan. The UD submitted as Associated Blass to MOS is Marsh 00000 as a subscript of submitted by		
	The HB submitted an Annual Plan to WG in March 2020 as a record of progress		
	with our planning as the WG IMTP processes have been suspended due to the Covid-19 outbreak.		

Datix ID Number: 1567	HBR Ref Number: 41			
Health & Care Standard: Safe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety Target Date: 31 st December 2020				
Objective: Best Value Outcomes	Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director Assuring Committee: Health and Safety Commi	Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursing and Patient Experience		
Risk: Fire Regulation Compliance – one improvement notice received relating to MH&LD Unit. Uncertain position in regard to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in particular (as a high rise block) in respect of its compliance with fire safety regulations.	Date last reviewed: February 2021			
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: $5 \times 3 = 15$ Current: $4 \times 3 = 12$ Target: $3 \times 3 = 9$ Level of Control $= 50\%$ Date added to the HB risk register	Rationale for current score:Improvement notice in relation to MH&LD Unit.Uncertain position in regard to the appropriatenessin particular (as a high rise block) in respect of itsGeneral compliance with fire regulations and WHRationale for target score:Target Score should be lower	compliance with fire sa	fety regulations.	
31/05/2018 — Target Score — Risk Score				
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)			
Fire risk assessments.	Action	Lead	Deadline	
Evacuation plans (vertical and horizontal).Fire safety training.	Change in fire evacuation plans and alarm and detection cause and effect	Head of Health & Safety	3 rd May 2021	
 Professional advice sought on compliance of panels. East flank panels removed Business case being developed for south panel removal and updating. 	Replacing the existing cladding and insulation with alternative specifications and inserting 30 minute fire cavity barriers where appropriate	Service Improvement Manager	14 th May 2021	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Monitoring through the H&S committee to receive assurance and or identify gaps for key compliance and adherence to applicable legislation. NWSSP internal audits Site visits/tours to identify compliance and gaps in compliances. Completion of FRA's within targeted schedule 	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek? Unclear if additional resources will be available			
Current Risk Rating 4 x 3 = 12	Additional C Professional assessment of panel compliance be control and WG colleagues. W/c 26/8/19 Claddin main block. Escape route on west end redirected Removal of flank cladding completed at end of 20	ing taken forward with I ng being removed from d with approval of Fire a	East and West end of Ind Rescue Service.	

removal of cladding on south side of building. Review of numbers of fire wardens completed by Unit and new wardens being trained. Rationale for current score: Improvement notice in reliation to MH&LD Unit. Uncertain position in regart to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in particular (as a high rise block) in respect of its compliance with fire safety regulations. General compliance with fire regulations and WHTMWHBN requirements Also: Phase 2 cladding replacement works scheduled to commence October 2020. Scheduled meeting with MWWFRS in August 2020 to cover cladding and general fire precautions for SBUHB sites. Priority completion of fire risk assessments for sleeping risk. Review of health and safety team resources being undertaken, with a target date of November 2020 to present to H&S committee. Provisional review undertaken, business case in draft format, costs being verified with finance on the draft options. Business case to be submitted to Execs in Q4. Fire resources are included in the overall H&S review. Progress Update 03.12.20 - enabling works commenced 30.11.20 Cladding works delayed due to availability of decamt beds as result of Covid and Winter Bed Pressures. Health Board made aware in update paper to Board 26.11.20. Revised start date 01.03.21 but this is dependent upon the decant space availabile at the time. Action completer: Finalise Business Case for permanent remediation of the external wall cladding to comply with HTM 05-02 and Building Control Regulations Approved Document B. Update 25.00.21. Regular meetings with contractor and Singleton site on planning for the forthoring works to commence on 03.03.21, with actual works scheduled to commence in April 2021. Site walk arounds have been undertaken to agree site compounds and fire eccape routes. Regular meetings scheduled to ensure appropriate levels of communications are in place and continue. HB will be linking with Mid and Vest Wales Fire and Rescue Services to ensure they are aware of the phases of work	
2020 to present to H&S committee. Provisional review undertaken, business case in draft format, costs being verified with finance on the draft options. Business case to be submitted to Execs in Q4. Fire resources are included in the overall H&S review. Progress Update 03.12.20 - enabling works commenced 30.11.20 Cladding works delayed due to availability of decant beds as a result of Covid and Winter Bed Pressures. Health Board made aware in update paper to Board 26.11.20. Revised start date 01.03.21 but this is dependent upon the decant space available at the time. Action completed: Finalise Business Case for permanent remediation of the external wall cladding to comply with HTM 05-02 and Building Control Regulations Approved Document B. Update 25.02.21: Regular meetings with contractor and Singleton site on planning for the forthcoming works of cladding removal and replacement on the front elevation. Scaffolding works to commence on 03.03.21, with actual works scheduled to commence in April 2021. Site walk arounds have been undertaken to agree site compounds and fire escape routes. Regular meetings scheduled to ensure appropriate levels of communications are in place and continue. HB will be linking with Mid and West Wales Fire and Rescue Services to ensure they are aware	Rationale for current score: Improvement notice in relation to MH&LD Unit. Uncertain position in regard to the appropriateness of the cladding applied to Singleton Hospital in particular (as a high rise block) in respect of its compliance with fire safety regulations. General compliance with fire regulations and WHTM/WHBN requirements Also: Phase 2 cladding replacement works scheduled to commence October 2020. Scheduled meeting with MWWFRS in August 2020 to cover cladding and general fire precautions for SBUHB sites. Priority completion of fire risk assessments for sleeping risk.
	precautions for SBUHB sites. Priority completion of fire risk assessments for sleeping risk. Review of health and safety team resources being undertaken, with a target date of November 2020 to present to H&S committee. Provisional review undertaken, business case in draft format, costs being verified with finance on the draft options. Business case to be submitted to Execs in Q4. Fire resources are included in the overall H&S review. Progress Update 03.12.20 - enabling works commenced 30.11.20 Cladding works delayed due to availability of decant beds as a result of Covid and Winter Bed Pressures. Health Board made aware in update paper to Board 26.11.20. Revised start date 01.03.21 but this is dependent upon the decant space available at the time. Action completed: Finalise Business Case for permanent remediation of the external wall cladding to comply with HTM 05-02 and Building Control Regulations Approved Document B. Update 25.02.21: Regular meetings with contractor and Singleton site on planning for the forthcoming works of cladding removal and replacement on the front elevation. Scaffolding works to commence on 03.03.21, with actual works scheduled to commence in April 2021. Site walk arounds have been undertaken to agree site compounds and fire escape routes. Regular meetings scheduled to ensure appropriate levels of communications are in place and continue. HB will be linking with Mid and West Wales Fire and Rescue Services to ensure they are aware

Datix ID Number: 1514 Health & Care Standard: S	afe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety	HBR Ref Number: 43		
Health & Care Standard: Safe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety Objective: Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care		Target Date: 31st March 2021 Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursing & Patient Experience Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee		
	unable to complete timely completion of DoLS Authorisation then the Health gislation and claims may be received in this respect.	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 3 x 2 = 6	-16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	 Rationale for current score: Although processes have been planned or implemented, the impact is be measured over a longer term, and the challenges of managing a lar backlog of breaches. Rationale for target score: Consequences of DoLS breaches for the Health Board will not change controls in place, over time likelihood should decrease. 		
Level of Control = 40% Date added to the HB risk register July 2017	-6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6			ill not change. With
Co	ntrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
 Supervisory body signatories in place BIA rota now implemented but limited uptake due to inability to release staff 2 x substantive BIA posts and additional admin post in place DoLS database updated and DoLS dashboard devised to enable more accurate monitoring and reporting Regular reporting to Mental Health and Legislative Committee (MHLC)(Nov 20) QIA completed for re-introduction of DoLS BIAs attending Ward as part of Reset and Recovery Sept 2020 QIA reviewed and service stood down in light of increased COVID incidence Oct 2020 Managing and supporting all referrals remotely New legislation changes expected in 21/22 which will require a different service model, business case to meet existing and future requirements will be progressed March 21. 		Action Delivery of DOLS Action plan reviewed monthly (change coding above also)	Lead Director Primary & Community	Deadline Monthly Review
		DoLS dashboard in place, monitoring applications and breaches via dedicated BIAs and Admin.	UND Primary and Community	Monthly Review
		Report to Mental Health and Legislative Committee advising cessation of DoLS assessors visiting wards to minimise spread of COVID. Expertise, advice and support available to wards via substantive BIAs	UND Primary and Community	Monthly Review
		Business case for revised service model	UND Primary and Community	31st March 2021

Assurances	Gaps in assurance
(How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	(What additional assurances should we seek?)
Regular scrutiny at Safeguarding Committee and by DoLS Internal Audit; monitoring via DoLS	
Dashboard which is due to be rolled out imminently and will provide real-time accurate data.	
Update report to MHLC regarding quarter 1 and 2 activity 2020, impact of COVID and focus on	
urgent cases via virtual process and plan to progress business case by year end.	
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments
4 x 4 = 16	All actions attributable to safeguarding completed and Internal Audit aware.

Datix ID Number: 1563	: Safa Cara 5.1 Accord	HBR Ref Number: 48		
Health & Care Standard: Safe Care 5.1 Access Objective: Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care		Target Date: 31 st March 2021 Director Lead: Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy		
		Assuring Committee: Performance an		
Risk: Failure to sustain Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services		Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 4 x 2 = 8	- <u>16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16</u> 16 - 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Rationale for current score: The specialist CAMHS Network is delivered by Cwm Taf University Health Board on behalf of ABMU. Rationale for target score: New service model and improved performant		
Level of Control = 50% Date added to HB the risk register 31/05/2018	Ward April Ward Intel Intel And Serve Octor Nour Decil Intel Establish			
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
	rutiny - is undertaken at monthly commissioning meetings between Swansea Bay &	Action	Lead	Deadline
concerns are dis identify local solu	nnwg University Health Boards. Improved governance -ensures that issues and cussed by all interested parties including local authorities to support the network utions. del agreed and being established by Summer 2019 which should give further	Additional investment expected - from Welsh Government is supporting the delivery of Waiting List Initiative clinics to support the position.	CAMHS network	31 st March 2021
stability to servic	e.	The Network is seeking to recruit agency staff to fill existing and upcoming vacancies to ensure that core capacity is maximised.	CAMHS network	31 st March 2021
Assurances (How do we know if the	things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should	we seek?)	
Current Risk RatingAdditional Co4 x 4 = 16The service is now in the 2nd cycle of CAFJanuary, with updated demand & capacityPOW Hospital, Bridgend which enabled the of end March. This was also achieved for I significant backlog, which is starting to be from March 2018.Primary & specialist CAMHS services are Health Board on behalf of ABMU (although NPT from 1/4/19).		APA with new jo ity mapping. WL the 80% target f or NPT area. Ho be addressed with re delivered by 0	I Clinics initiated at to be achieved by end wever Swansea had a h waiting list initiatives Cwm Taf University	

Cwm Taf achieved the non-urgent 28 day target for specialist CAMHS by the end of March 2019. Their ability to sustain this performance is dependent on consistency and availability of staff which due to the small numbers in the various CAMHS teams can affect achievement of waiting times significantly. Target achieved in March 2019, then missed for a number of months, but achieved from September 2019. However performance is still inconsistent, and will remain so until the existing 3 teams have been integrated into one service across West Glamorgan. New service model being implemented from June 2020 which will stabilise service. A new pathway for CAMHS patients is currently being developed which provides advice on the appropriate actions for dealing with these children and young people and will reduce the need to hold them in the Emergency Department at Morriston.

Datix ID Number: 922 Health & Care Standa	2 ard: Effective Care 3.1 Clinically Effective Care	HBR Ref Number: 49 Target Date: 31 st July 2021		
	Outcomes from High Quality Care	Director Lead: Richard Evans, Medical Director Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee		
Risk: Failure to provide (TAVI)	e a sustainable service for Trans-catheter Aortic Valve Implementation			
Risk Rating(consequence xlikelihood):Initial: $5 x 5 = 25$ Current: $4 x 4 = 16$ Target: $3 x 4 = 12$ Level of Control $= 50\%$ Date added to theHB risk registerJuly 2016	20 20 20 20 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 Natr ^D Rot ^D Natr ^D 10 ^D Rub ^D So ^{2D} Oct ^D Not ^D Dec ^D 10	 Rationale for current score: External review undertaken by Royal College of Physicians which will likely in that patients have come to serious harm as a result of excessive waits. Remains significant reputational risk to the Health Board Rationale for target score: External review by the Royal College of Physicians will provide a view on improvement required immediately and for sustainability. 		
,	trols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
• TAVI Recovery Plan	implemented and backlog has been cleared.	Action	Lead	Deadline
 Plan is supported with Executive oversight at fortnightly TAVI has been prioritised in next year's WHSSC ICP for 2020/21. Royal College of Physicians have provided reports on the service and action plans have 		Commission external review of the service by the Royal College of Physicians (Awaiting report)	Directorate Manager	31 st March 2021
been developed and		Commission further case note review by the Royal College of Physicians (Awaiting report)	EMD	31 st March 2021
Reduction in waiting tir Executive Medical Dire	he things we are doing are having an impact?) mes for TAVI. ector Oversight of improvement plans. y and Safety Dashboard. Oversight and scrutiny by Quality and Safety	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)		
Current Risk Rating 4 x 4 = 16		Additional Comments Business case for WHSSC funding has been agreed. There is considerable reputational risk to the organisation on the outcome of the Royal College of Physicians review. RCP reports received for first cohort casenote reviews and site visit. Action plans implemented. All posts identified as essential in the RCP reports have been appointed to. Improvement activity continues to have oversight of the Executive Medical Director at fortnightly Gold Command meetings. Extensive validation of pathway start dates for cardiothoracic and TAVI patients from external health boards.		

Regular briefings and reports are provided to key stakeholders including WHSSC, Welsh Government and Hywel Dda UHB. The service has felt some impact from COVID, particularly at peaks of COVID prevalence, but the service has continued to operate.
The RCP have undertaken a review of a second cohort of casenotes and their report is awaited.

Datix ID Number: 1761		HBR Ref Number: 50			
	ealth & Care Standard: Timely Care 5.1 Access		Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Dejective: Best Value Outcomes from High Quality Care		Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Officer			
		Assuring Committee: Performance		ttee	
	ices - Failure to sustain services as currently configured to meet cancer targets	Date last reviewed: February 2021			
Risk Rating		Rationale for current score:			
(consequence x 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		Whilst every effort is being made to			
likelihood):		cancer activity in particular is being impacted upon by both the reduction in			
Initial: $4 \times 5 = 20$	<u>-12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12</u> 12	elective theatre capacity and availab	pility in critical care be	ds	
Current: $5 \times 5 = 25$					
Target: $4 \times 3 = 12$		Deficiencia fon tonnat a como			
Level of Control		Rationale for target score:			
= 70% Date added to the HB	Marth Aprilo Marth Larth 1420 Augh Sept Oct 20 Nove Decilo Iarit Febrit	Torget approved to the shellenge t	his area of work pros	ant the Deard and	
risk register		Target score reflects the challenge t where small numbers of patients imp			
April 2014	Target Score Risk Score			o breach laigel	
	ntrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (W	hat more should we	do?)	
	esses to manage each individual case on the unscheduled care (USC) Pathway.	Action	Lead	Deadline	
•	ical capacity to support USC pathways have been put in place in RGH and PCH	Phased and sustainable solution	Service Group	1 st April 2021	
to protect core activity.		for the required uplift in endoscopy	Manager	I	
	ace to fast track USC patients.	capacity that will be key to	5		
	demand and capacity analysis with directorates to maximise efficiencies.	supporting both the Urgent			
	rformance plateau at around 90% with ongoing monitoring of related actions in	Suspected Cancer backlog and			
place at F,P&W Commit		future cancer diagnostic demand			
•	ts breaching which is impacting on sustained delivery of the 31 and 62 day target.	on Endoscopy Services.			
-	established at Neath Port Talbot Hospital. Discussions are ongoing with regard to	To explore the possibility of	Service Manager	30 th June 2021	
	ndary changes. Discussions are being held with the Executive team regarding	offering SBAR RT for high risk	Surgical Services		
•	provision of the RDC service. Work is also ongoing to roll out the concept of the	lung cancer patients in SWWCC			
RDC across Wales.					
	por Tradicing to algority manifer and 'null' nations through their nathways. Markey	Introduce COVID testing for	Service Manager	28 th February	
•	cer Trackers to closely monitor and 'pull' patients through their pathways. Weekly	Oncology and Haematology	Surgical Services	2021	
•	etings are held at both Singleton and Morriston Delivery Units. Also a weekly HB	patients and staff involved in			
•	rmance meeting is held. This meeting is led by the Cancer Lead Manager/Cancer	service delivery in line with			
	e Units are challenged on delays and service issues.	national guidelines.			
	cern across the HB for breaches are now Breast, Gynaecological and Lower GI.				
•	emains a significant risk until sustainable solutions are identified for these tumour				
sites and new staff appo	intments to support tracking and pathways are fully embedded within services.				
Assurances		Gaps in assurance	1	1	
	ngs we are doing are having an impact?)	(What additional assurances shou			

General improvement (sustained) trajectory. Need to continue improvement actions and close monitoring. Early diagnosis pathway launched and impact being closely monitored.	Clear current funding gap.
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments
5 x 5 = 25	The need to deliver sustained performance.
	Whilst every effort is being made to maintain cancer treatment, surgical
	cancer activity in particular is being impacted upon by both the reduction in
	elective theatre capacity and availability in critical care beds due to the
	COVID-19 outbreak.
	Covid screening is in place for all patients starting their 1st cycle of SACT
	and for all Lung RT patients.
	Action - Establishment of mobile unit to carry out PET/CT scans for
	Swansea and South West Wales patients. – Completed
	Action - Continue to expand our Surgery capacity to allow our complex
	cancer surgeries to deal with any backlog of patients - Completed

Datix ID Number: 1759 HBR Ref Number: 51				
Health & Care Standard: Staff & Resources 7.1 Workforce Target Date: 31 st March 2021				
Objective: Excellent S	Stall	Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Directo	•	
Diek: Non Compliance	a with Nurse Staffing Levels Act (2016)	Assuring Committee: Workforce and OD Commi Date last reviewed: February 2021	llee	
	e with Nurse Staffing Levels Act (2016)	,,,		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 5 x 5 = 25 Target: 4 x 2 = 8	25 25 25 25 25 25 20<	 Rationale for current score: Increased risk as a result of reduction in staff availability as a result of staff isolation/sickness - Covid-19. Frequently below minimum staffing number requirements. Risk escalated to 25 due to the escalating concerns around COVID-19 and requirement around surge plans, including wards being re-purposed and ope and commissioning of new wards. 		
Level of Control		Rationale for target score:		
= 80% Date added to the HB risk register	NARTER ADT NARTER JUR 10 JULIE AUG D GERE OCTO NOVE DECTO JANT CEDIT	 The Health Board is ensuring we have the structures and processes in place provide reassurance under the Act and are allocating resources accordingly Health Boards are duty bound to take all reasonable steps to maintain nurse 		
November 2018		staffing levels.		
	ontrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
	put the following controls in place:	Action	Lead	Deadline
	re-instated in October 2020 include:	Daily Staffing Tool has been agreed across the	Director of Nursing	19 th April 2021
	lans have been developed by Unit Nurse Directors & Each Delivery Group to	Delivery Groups to maintain a consistent	& Patient	
	ig in light of escalation to surge & super surge due to COVID-19, with	approach.	Experience	
	n of all reasonable steps	The Ward Sister / Charge Nurse and Senior	Director of Nursing	19 th April 2021
	ffing & Workforce meeting has been set up chaired by the Interim Director of	Nurse should continuously assess the situation	& Patient	Monthly ongoing
	atient Experience. Weekly meetings initially re-instated & have now increased	and keep the designated person formally	Experience	
	eekly with the potential to be increased to daily. The meetings will include a	appraised.		
	round staffing hotspots, all reasonable steps associated with nurse staffing,	The Board should ensure a system is in place	Director of Nursing	22 nd April 2021
•••	of staff, repurposed wards and surge plan, roster scrutiny	that allows the recording, review and reporting of	& Patient	
	ursing Staffing 7 day a week rota reintroduced.	every occasion when the number of nurses	Experience	
	wide overview of commissioning of new wards.	deployed varies from the planned roster.		
	ducation Hub & training needs in line with COVID plan.	(Progress being made, last paper went to Board in November 2019. Paper accepted by the		
	introduced in March include:	Board)		
•	e staffing Cell meetings chaired by Executive Director of Nursing & Patient	The responsibility for decisions relating to the	•	19 th April 2021
Experience to disc	cuss hot spots and the staff available across the Health Board.	maintenance of the nurse staffing level rests with	& Patient	
• Nurse Bank fully u	utilised and part of the nurse staffing meetings, Unit Nurse Directors can now	the Health Board should be based on evidence	Experience	
	ract agency without Executive approval to maintain a safe service.	provided by and the professional opinions of the		
	g 7 day rota introduced.	Executive Directors with the portfolios of Nursing,		
	o record wards that have been repurposed as novel wards (COVID-19)	Finance, Workforce, and Operations.	Disaster of Nusciss	20nd March 2004
		Risk register to be reviewed monthly to ensure	Director of Nursing	22 nd March 2021

Set up COVID-19 Corporate Training and Education Hub which outlines a clear plan for training and education	compliance	& Patient Experience	Monthly ongoing
 Approved Registered Staff who have retired from the Nursing Midwifery Council Register in the last three years have been contacted with a view to return to practice and into the Health Board workforce. 			
 Delivery Units have appropriately deployed of ward nurses to key areas. And also administration staff utilised to release nurses into providing care. 			
• Student nurses have returned to clinical practice which has been supported corporately.			
Existing Controls			
Confirmed the designated person			
 Represented the All-Wales Nurse Staffing Group and its sub groups 			
Contributed with the work undertaken at an all-Wales level on Acuity levels of care.			
Undertaken a formal review across all acute Service Delivery Units for calculating and			
reporting nurse staffing requirements to ensure a Health Board wide consistent approach is adopted.			
 Presented a Health Board position status paper to both Board & Executive team outlining the preparedness for the Nurse Staffing Act (Wales). 			
Conducted a review of workforce planning procedures, for 2018 to 2021, which includes;			
Health Board recruitment events, retention, workforce planning & redesign, training and development.			
• Developed a monthly Health Board Multidisciplinary Nurse Staffing Act Task & Finish Group, chaired by the Interim Deputy Director of Nursing & Patient Experience, which reports to Nursing and Midwifery Board and Workforce & Organisational Development Committee.			
 Provided acuity feedback sessions to all Service Delivery Units included in the June audit. 			
 Formally launched the Nurse Staffing (Wales) Act Guidance. 			
 Raised the issue regarding Information Technology barriers around the capture of data required for the Act on an All- Wales and Health Board basis. 			
 Circulated the Welsh Levels of Care and Operational Handbook to Service Delivery Unit Leads. 			
 Confirmed the 32 acute medical & surgical clinical areas that fall within the Act. These areas 			
have been agreed using the criteria set out in the Operational Handbook.			
 A Rigorous data approval process has been put in place to ensure accuracy of the 6 monthly 			
acuity data prior to sign off. There has also been a number of workshops organised across the			
organisation to ensure a consistent approach to data collection and there is national work on			
solutions for electronic capture of acuity data.			
The NSA Steering group continues to meet on a monthly basis.			
Risks are presented at each meeting			
 Scrutiny panels are held for each SDU following the submission of acuity templates. 			
 Impact assessment work is being undertaken to prepare for further roll out of the Act. 			

ssurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance
Ongoing robust recruitment and retention plans in place to reduce vacancies in key clinical	(What additional assurances should we seek?)
areas, which is in line with the Health Board recruitment plan.	
Accurate reporting of Acuity data and governance around sign off.	
Implement mobile devises to be used within adult acute medical and surgical wards included	
within the Act in readiness for the June Adult Acuity Audit.	
Agreed establishments to funded.	
Implementation of E-Rostering to enable accurate reporting of Compliance	
Implement all Wales Templates, which are visible and signed within the agreed 32 ward areas,	
informing patients of planned roster.	
At least Yearly Board reports outlining compliance and any key risks. August 2019 update In	
line with the Boundary changes there are now 29 reportable wards which excludes POW. E-	
rostering has been rolled out in Singleton and Morriston is in the process of being rolled out.	
Scrutiny panels are in place. Following the investment already provided to the funded	
establishments. The overall risks have reduced as outlined above. The quality and accuracy of	
the Acuity data has improved.	
Current Risk Rating	Non Compliance with Nurse Staffing Levels (Wales) Act (2016) The Nurse Staffing Levels
5 x 4 = 20	(Wales) Act, which received Royal Assent on 21st March 2016, places an overarching duty
	on Local Health Boards and NHS Trusts in Wales to ensure that nurses have time to care
	sensitively for their patients and codifies current best practice for determining nurse-staffing
	levels. It requires Local Health Boards and NHS Trusts in Wales to calculate and maintain
	staffing levels in specific clinical areas, which are Adult acute Medical & Surgical wards. In accordance with the Act, Health Boards/Trusts must submit annual reports to their board
	and three-yearly reports to Welsh Government in relation to their compliance with the
	staffing levels, the impact upon the quality of care where the nurse staffing level was not
	maintained and the actions required in response to this. The Act currently requires the
	reporting of adult acute medical and surgical inpatient wards, 32 wards in total across the
	Health Board. In preparation for the Act Service delivery Units have all produced detailed
	risk assessments in preparation for the Act: Morriston 20 Singleton 16 NPT 6 POW 16
	Current Status Singleton 15 Morriston 15 NPT 6. Operating Framework in place.
	Progress is being made the last paper went to Board November 2019. The paper was
	accepted by the Board. Letters have been sent to Morriston & Singleton Delivery Unit
	confirming the outcome of Novembers Board and support for Funding. The templates are
	being signed. NPT Delivery Unit has already received a letter. 1st June due to COVID-19 a letter was received from the Chief Nursing Officer (Wales)
	outlining the impact of COVID-19 and actions to be considered. The Bi-Annual Nurse
	Staffing Act paper was postponed and a COVID-19 paper in relation to the disruption to the
	Nurse staffing levels Act was presented to May's Board in its place. The paper was based
	on an All Wales Template.


Staffing has improved across the Health Board although the score remains the same in
light of the uncertain time and a number of factors relating to the Covid-19 situation.
Daily Silver Nurse staffing Cell meetings stood down on 30.7.20.
The frequency and timings of these meetings will be reviewed at times of COVID Level 4
Super Surge level as per SOP "Nurse Resource during COVID -19".
Corporate Nursing 7 day rota stood down will be re-established when required.
Reduction in vacancy factor Band 5 - 309 wte Band 2- 13 wte as at 9.7.2020.
Student Streamlining - 151 due to commence September 2020.
Plan to implement Safecare acuity based rostering tool in September 2020 QIA in progress.
Jan 20 Acuity audit. The retrospective triangulation review has been undertaken in July 20.
July 20 Acuity audit has been undertaken. The scrutiny panels set up in September 20.
Risk Register has been reviewed and remains at 20 due to unpredictability at present with COVID-19
July Acuity Scrutiny panels have been re set for October 2020.
Paediatrics Task & Finish Group has been formed in preparation for the extension of the
Act.
Current Risk remains at 20 due to the uncertainty surrounding COVID.
October 2020 update
NSA Board paper presented to Septembers Board.
Scrutiny panels have taken place in October.
Preparing Board paper for November BI-Annual review of staffing.
December 2020 update
The daily staffing tool remains in place across the four acute sites. A daily staffing/
workforce meeting is also in place, chaired by the Director of Nursing & Patient Experience
or nominated Deputy. In place November, remains in place.
January 2021 update
Nurse Staffing paper SBAR report on 'Impact of COVID 19 on Nurse Staffing Levels'
submitted to Gold on 18.12.20. Taken to NMB on 21.1.21 for noting. Plan is to further
update and submit to Senior Leadership Team meeting on 3.2.21.
Action closed – Operating Framework has been updated and uploaded to COIN.
February 2021 update
Corporate Risk currently at 25 to reduce to score of 20.
Discussed in Nurse Staffing Act Meeting 5.2.21 formally agreed to reduce the score from
25 to 20 based on evidence provided from Delivery Groups Risk Assessments report
improved staffing levels decreased Covid pressures.
Morriston Singleton & NPT Risk Score 20 MH&LD 15 DN and HV 12.
Remains high level of vacancies but significant improvement in the Covid-19 absenteeism
A daily staffing tool is completed to provide an overview of the staffing situation in each
Delivery Group this supports the decision making process with deployment of staff daily.
Roster Scrutiny Panels operate to ensure the rostering Policy and Standards are fully

implemented and are being reviewed to encompass triangulation with key quality indicators.
The Covid 19 outbreaks in the care homes have had significant impact on the DN service resulting in the DN services supporting the care homes both day and night. Care home
support required from the DN is predicted to lessen.
Daily Silver Workforce Nurse Staffing Logistics Cell meeting has been reduced to twice
weekly. Monday focuses Nurse Staffing Wednesday focuses on Grip and Control of Nurse
rosters.
Corporate Nurse Staffing 7 day a week rota has been stood down.
Nurse Staffing Risk Paper updated monthly for Senior Leadership meetings
Transforming Programme & Plan. Grip & Control Efficiency, Modernising Nursing and
Valuing Nursing.
Recruitment of staff remains a key focus especially HCSW which is seen as a more
accessible staff group. Assistant Practitioners are in the process of being recruited to
support the Delivery Groups. Student streamlining and Overseas recruitment continues.
Visibility of Nursing Leaders within the clinical areas to early identify areas at risk and
mitigate where possible.
Wellbeing and support services have been enhanced to support staff. Funding has been agreed to continue the Health Board Reflect Reset and Reflect Wellbeing study day for
staff.
The NMC have published bite size wellbeing information for staff these have been shared
through the Health Board NMB meeting.

Datix ID Number: 1763 Health & Care Standard: S	taff & Resources 7.1 Workforce	HBR Ref Number: 52	sh 2021		
	bjective: Partnerships for Care – Effective Governance		Target Date: 31st March 2021 Director Lead: Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy Assuring Committee: Performance and Finance Committee		
Risk: The Health Board doe with strategic service change	s not have sufficient resource in place to undertake engagement & impact assessment in line	Date last reviewed: F			
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 4 = 16 Current: 4 x 3 = 12	- <u>12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1</u>	 Rationale for current Current lack of sus 		urce to secure capacity	
Target: 4 x 2 = 8 Level of Control = 50% Date added to the HB risk register November 2018	Marth Aprill Marth Jurill Julill Aughl Septill Octal Noval Decal Jaria Febrili — Target Score — Risk Score	processes / policies	leed to have adequa s in place for the org	ate resourcing and robust anisation to make robust leet our statutory and	
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)			
 evaluated and will be used backfilled to support engage based on best practice guit Impact Assessment - A JE but funding not secured. A has been included to supp Commissioning - two temp 	D has been drafted. The post has now been put forward as part of the CSP support package as part of restructuring plan to develop Business Partners for Delivery Groups a requirement bort the development of EIAs. Provided this is funded this will bridge this gap. Dorary posts are in place until the end of 2019/20 to support the disaggregation programme be considered by the Joint Executive Group as part of the resource assessment for the	Action Agreement of dedicated resource to support Engagement activity – through structure reviews Conclude work on Exec Equalities	Lead Director of Transformation Interim Assistant Director of	Deadline 31 st March 2021 31 st March 2021	
 Planning - 2 temporary un Executive Team agreed to resources have been aligr resource assessment for t Robust policies and proce 	funded posts in place (Partnerships Manager and Older people's Programme Manager). o fund these, as well as appoint an Acute Care Planning Manager. Core department ned to the needs of the CSP and a range of additional posts have been put forward in the the Transformation Portfolio. sses to be in place for Impact Assessment going forward. shed. Instead funding of additional Band 4 and difference between Band 5 and 6. However	portfolios Appoint to agreed Planning posts	Strategy Interim Assistant Director of Strategy	31st March 2021	
	until April 2021. (Engagement) nuary 2021 after delays due to Covid. Acting Band 6 to be made substantive by end March				

Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance
Temporary additional resource in place for CSP (part of requirements). Now agreed by the Executive Team. Equality	(What additional assurances should we seek?)
Impact specialist advice and support to be considered as part of Exec portfolios for equality review.	Permanent additional resources not yet available
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments
4 x 3 = 12	As at 23.12.20 there has been no progress to create a IIA post.
	Need to appoint additional planning staff to support USC, planned care, thoracics, partnerships, TTP and project support. Funding agreed for most posts or externally sourced. Pursuing HR process to get roles agreed and in place.

Datix ID Number: 1762 Health & Care Standard:	Staff & Resources 7.1 Workforce	HBR Ref Number: 53 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Partnerships for		Director Lead: Pam Wenger, Director of Corporate Governance		e
		Assuring Committee: Health Board (Welsh Lan	iguage Group)	
	ly with all the requirements of the Welsh Language Standards, as they apply to the	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
University Health Board.				
Risk Rating (consequence x		Rationale for current score: As a consequence of an internal assessment of the second	the Standards a	and their impact
likelihood):		on the UHB, it is recognised that the Health Boar		•
Initial: 5 x 3 = 15	<u>- 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15</u> 15	with all applicable Standards.		, i
Current: 5 x 3 = 15		This position has been confirmed/verified via an	independent ba	aseline
Target: 3 x 3 = 9	<u> </u>	assessment.	-	
Level of Control		Rationale for target score:		
= 60%	Way of the Way of Mary Mary Mary Mary Mark 2 265 yo Oct of North Decy 18 180 y to the	Working through its related improvement plan the		
Date added to the HB	Ward April ward in a inter inter and safe safe our a vour peril inter terra	will reduce as awareness and staff training in res	ponse to the S	tandards, is
risk register		raised.		
November 2018	Target Store Misk Store			
Ċ	controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?))
	ine assessment of the Health Board's position against the Standards has now been	Action	Lead	Deadline
undertaken. This is in	addition to the Health Board's own self-assessment.	Review and update the Welsh Language	Head of	30 th June
• Work to implement	the recommendations contained within the above baseline assessment has	Standards Action Plan to reflect the findings of	Compliance	2021
commenced.		the independent baseline assessment		
An online staff Welsh	Language Skills Survey has been launched.	Following the appointment of the WLO,	Head of	30 th June
A new Welsh Language	ge Officer (WLO) has now been appointed, taking up her post in September 2020.	reinstate quarterly meetings of the Welsh	Compliance	2021
 Close constructive work 	rking relationships are in place with the Welsh Language Commissioner's Office	Language Delivery Group.		
• Strong networks are in	n place amongst Welsh Language Officers across NHS Wales to inform learning	Ensure the Board is fully sighted on the UHB's	Head of	30 th June
and development of re	esponses to the Standards.	position through regular reporting to the Health	Compliance	2021
Proactive communica	tion and marketing activity is being undertaken across the Health Board to raise	Board. Update reports issued to the Executive		
awareness of Welsh I	anguage compliance, customer service standards and training opportunities.	Team and Board.		
Working with NHS Wa	ales Shared Services (NWSSP) to achieve compliance for workforce and	Recruitment of Welsh Language Officer	Head of	30 th June
recruitment standards			Compliance	2021
	know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance		
	Statutory requirements outlined in Welsh Language Act and related Standards.	(What additional assurances should we seek		
•	e Welsh Language Commissioner.	Meetings of the Welsh Language Standards Deli		
	against the requirements of More Than Just Words.	with 'overseeing compliance with the Welsh Language Standards and		
4. Production of an	Annual Report.	reporting on such to the Executive Board and the Board' need to be reinstated once the Welsh Language Officer has taken up her post.		o be reinstated
	Current Risk Rating	Additional Commer	nte	
	Cullent Nisk Natilig Additional Comments			



Datix ID Number: 1724		HBR Ref Number: 54		
Health & Care Standard: Safe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Health & Safety		Target Date: 1 st January 2021		
Objective: Partnerships for Care		Director Lead: Sian Harrop-Griffiths, Director of Strategy		
Assuring Committee: Health Board (Emergency Preparedne		ss Resilience and		
		Response Group)		
Risk: Failure to maintain se	ervices as a result of the potential no deal Brexit	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating		Rationale for current score:		
(consequence x		The initial risk assessment is based on the fa		
likelihood):		place to understand the risks in terms of the I		
Initial: 4 x 5 = 20	- 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 	services as business as usual. This has been		
Current: 5 x 3 = 15		remain some unknowns in terms of future age		e are being reviewed
Target: 3 x 2 = 6	-6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	during the summer of 2021, the current risk ra	ating will remain.	
Level of Control		Rationale for target score:		
= 70%	North APT North 1000 100 100 100 100 20 200 000 10 North Decito 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	By undertaking the actions highlighted it is an		
Date added to the HB	Way that Way in, in the ted Oc, Mon Der law tep	place will ensure business as usual even if so	ome future trade a	igreements pose
risk register		some risks to some services.		
November 2018				
	ontrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What n		
• • •	s resilience and response, (EPRR) work programme in relation to the 6 statutory	Action	Lead	Deadline
duties is monitored via th	e EPRR Strategy Group; this includes emergency planning, risk assessment,	To review and rehearse promptly the	Head of	(Monthly meetings
collaboration, sharing of i	nformation, warning and informing and business continuity.	existing business continuity and	Emergency	resumed in
• The Health Board continu	les to respond to the C-19 pandemic and has been in response since 31.01.21.	resilience/contingency arrangements, and	Preparedness,	September 2020)
In addition, there have be	en a number of concurrencies that the Health Board has responded to;	to do so working with your local and	Resilience &	1 st April 2021
emphasising the need for	a continued cycle of emergency planning, to be emergency prepared and	regional partners, including through your	Response	Meetings during
· · ·	resilience. There is an EPRR risk register as well as a Brexit specific risk	local resilience forums.		September to
register.	Ŭ Î	Plans were exercised during 2018 for a no		November 2020
•	ted a full risk assessment and have identified high risks related to Brexit on the	deal Brexit. Continued planning remained		were more
•	also a strategic risk log. Services noting high risks have a separate Risk, Action	in place and a constant review of risk		frequent but
U) log in place. Engagement in health national groups continues to monitor this.	assessments. In addition, the Health Board		continue to be
		has invoked its business continuity		monthly and
	nues to work with NWSSP procurement and commissioned a review of devices chain in Wales to complement the work already completed at UK level. There is	arrangements a few times whilst		currently focusing
	chain in wales to complement the work already completed at OK level. There is curement specifically for Brexit.	responding to the pandemic and the most		on Brexit.
-		was in relation to disruption to supplies of		
• weish Government has p That remain including:	out in place national communication and co-ordination arrangements,	blood science products. The learning from		
	holder Advisory Forum made up of senior leaders from across the sector, and	this incident is being taken forward to		
	ary for Health and Social Services and the Minister for Children, Older People	ensure critical stocks and supplies of just in		
and Social Care;	מרץ וסי דוכמומי מות סטטמו ספו אוכפי מות מופ ואווווזגנפו וסו סווומופוו, סומפו דפטטופ	time products is more robust.		
,	ship Group, chaired by WG focusing on ensuring operational readiness			
	sing oroup, chaired by we locusing on ensuring operational readiness			

Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)		
To understand from the review what arrangements need to be in place to minimise		
the risks in relation to continued issues related to Brexit. The robust risk		
assessment and RAID log provision allows for careful observation of issues and		
contingencies to mitigate the risks.		
Additional Comments		
There is an obligation to maintain critical services and business as usual in an		
emergency and this includes Brexit and consequently there is the potential for		
disruption in commercial and public services and therefore supplies, services,		
transport, fuel, border issues, EU national issues, immigration, critical		
infrastructure, energy and command resilience etc. All EPRR and Brexit meetings were postponed temporarily due to the Covid-19		
pandemic but resumed during September 2020. Prior to this Services re-		
commenced a review of the risk assessments and updating of business continuity		
plans; this remains a continuum. Action – Revision of business continuity plans to take account of Covid-19 -		

Datix ID Number: 179	99	HBR Ref Number: 57		
	ard: Controlled Drug 2.6 Medicines Management	Target Date: 31 st December 2021		
Objective: Best Value	Outcomes of High Quality Care	Director Lead : Richard Evans, Executive Medical Director		
		Assuring Committee: Audit Committee		
Health Board has limit Office Controlled Drug	with Home Office Controlled Drug Licensing requirements. The ed assurance regarding whether or not it is compliant with Home Licensing requirements at the present time, nor does it currently ce to ensure any future service change complies.	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 4 = 20 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 4 x 2 = 8	-16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	Rationale for current score: Risk: That the Health Board is operating in breach of the law without an appropriate Home Office Controlled Drug Licens Health Board has indicated that failure to comply with the H requirements could result in criminal and civil action, both a the Health Board as a public body. Work has commenced situation along with the drafting of a detailed policy that will Risk: That the Health Board is maintaining unnecessary Ho Each Home Office Controlled Drug license costs around £3 up and maintenance costs. Health Board wide scrutiny is re- licenses are held (one such example has recently been disc	e. Legal advice prov lome Office Controlle gainst responsible ir to fully understand th ensure compliance me Office Controlled k plus additional adr equired to ensure no	vided to the ed Drug licensing ndividuals and ne licensing going forward. d Drug Licenses. ministrative set-
Level of Control = 40%		Rationale for target score:		
Date added to the		Once the new policy is complete and has been checked for	legal compliance to	the Home Office
HB risk register		regulations there will be a training session held with all clinic		
January 2019		level. The work currently underway includes checking area regulations.		
Contro	ols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more sh	ould we do?)	
	and principles upon which to decide whether a Home Office	Action	Lead	Deadline
detailed policy that is o	se would be required have been drafted. This forms the basis of a currently in draft form. This will be sent for legal ratification to the Home Office regulations. The Home Office have been advised	HB to develop and implement a control system to ensure compliance with HO license requirements (now and in the future).	Clinical Director Pharmacy	1st April 2021
	completed as a matter of urgency.	HB to undertake a baseline assessment of current CD	Clinical Director	1st April 2021
	ern regarding license compliance are being visited to enable an	management in the HB in line with the new HB policy on	Pharmacy	
accurate assessment.		requirements for HO Controlled Drug licenses	-	
	nderway to develop a governance framework to ensure	HB to undertake a baseline assessment of HO CD	Clinical Director	1st April 2021
responsibility for management and use of controlled drugs is fully understood within the		licenses currently held by the HB	Pharmacy	
	mework will enable both the Controlled Drug Accountable Officer	HB to send a copy of the new policy on Home Office	Clinical Director	1st April 2021
	Medical Director to discharge their individual accountabilities.	Controlled Drug license requirements to the HO and	Pharmacy	
	I Director, the Executive Director of Nursing and the Chief	begin discussions on areas of disagreement		
Pharmacist/CDAU are	fully involved and supportive of any potential changes for delivery	ister Lestundated & March 2021		

units.	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) To date the HB has received legal advice. Pending policy development, the principles contained within the legal advice are referred to when issues are raised in order to provide consistency in arrangements. 	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) The Health Board will develop a license compliance register, this is expected to be maintained by the Corporate Governance Team thus ensuring there is sufficient segregation of duty.
Current Risk Rating 4 x 4 = 16	Additional Comments The Home Office are aware that the Health Board have sought independent legal advice regarding the situations where a Home Office Controlled Drug license is required. Advice received to date from the Home Office regarding particular scenarios of Controlled Drug management by the Health Board has differed from the independent legal advice received. The Home Office are currently awaiting the Health Board policy on this matter so that they can review our position. Once completed the policy outlining the Health Board position on Controlled Drug licensing will be shared with both Welsh government and all other Health Boards in Wales as the Swansea Bay UHB position is likely to be used by the Home Office as a precedent. A baseline audit and assessment of current Controlled Drug management across the Health Board (including the degree of 'management and control' exercised) against the recently received legal advice. A baseline audit and review of any Home Office Controlled Drug licenses currently held by the Health Board. Ratification of a specific HB policy on need for HO licenses will go to HB Q&S at the end of August for sign off. After ratification the HB will start negotiations with the HO.

Datix ID Number: 146	CRR Ref Number: 58		
Health & Care Standard: Effective Care 3.1 Clinically Effective Care	Target Date: 31 st March 2022		
Objective: Excellent Patient Outcomes	Director Lead: Chris White. Chief Operating Office	er	
	Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee	ee	
Risk: There is a failure to provide adequate clinic capacity to support follow-up patients within	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
the Ophthalmology specialty.			
The consequence of this failure is a delay in patients with chronic eye conditions accessing			
ongoing secondary care monitoring of diagnosed conditions with the potential risk of			
permanently impairing eyesight.			
Risk Rating	Rationale for current score:		
(consequence x	Sustainable plans underway - short term measures		
likelihood):	incidents being reported to WG. Gold Command ex		
Initial: 4 x 3 = 12	2018. Risk rating increased to 25 January 2019 as		
Current: $4 \times 5 = 20$	change risk score to 16, 03/04/2019 as Probable x	Major. Risk rating in	icreased to 20 in July
Target: $4 \times 1 = 4$ -4 4 4 4 4 4	2020 due to Covid-19 pandemic.		
Level of Control $= 40\%$	Rationale for target score:		
v v v v v v v v v v v			
Date added to the Nat Ast Nat Jur Jur Jur Ster Ser Oct Not Det Jan febr			
HB risk register December 2014 —— Target Score —— Risk Score			
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What n	aara chauld wa da	2)
	Action	Lead	Deadline
 All patients are categorised by condition in order to quantify issue. Second glaucoma consultant appointed November 2018. 	An overall Sustainability Plan to be delivered	Service Group	31 st March 2021
 Additional accommodation secured to increase capacity; implementation plan 	(Gold command process in place)	Manager	(Monthly ongoing)
under development. Welsh government funding secured for 2019/20 to employ		Surgical	(Montally ongoing)
additional activity and deliver some services in a community setting. Virtual clinics		Specialties	
established.		opeoidities	
 Service Manager for Ophthalmology providing regular updates via Planned Care 			
Programme.			
r rogramme.			
×	Gans in assurance		
Assurances	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)		
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	(What additional assurances should we seek?)	e clinical interventio	n but these are still
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) • A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin	e clinical interventio	n, but these are still
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat 	(What additional assurances should we seek?)	e clinical interventio	n, but these are still
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat patients within clinical priority rather than prioritising new patients based on their 	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin	e clinical interventio	n, but these are still
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat patients within clinical priority rather than prioritising new patients based on their waiting time. A Project Management Lead was in post to deliver on the HES 	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin	e clinical interventio	n, but these are still
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat patients within clinical priority rather than prioritising new patients based on their waiting time. A Project Management Lead was in post to deliver on the HES objectives. 	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin		n, but these are still
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat patients within clinical priority rather than prioritising new patients based on their waiting time. A Project Management Lead was in post to deliver on the HES 	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin listed as per RTT guidance. Additional Con	mments	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) A Welsh Government pilot programme was implemented in June 2014. The purpose of the HES project is to use clinic capacity to assess, review and treat patients within clinical priority rather than prioritising new patients based on their waiting time. A Project Management Lead was in post to deliver on the HES objectives. 	(What additional assurances should we seek?) Extended waiting times for patients requiring routin listed as per RTT guidance.	mments months) commence	ed in post 11/06/2018.

Accommodation in Corridor 3 reconfigured 08/02/2019. Further work needed on accommodation and additional rooms required. Ongoing discussions continue with Singleton Unit so that space can be created to house a co-located Ophthalmology Department Middle grade doctor to commence in post April 2019.

Monthly tracker of glaucoma backlog patients indicates reduction of over 800 patients to end of January 2019.

Diabetic Retinopathy Virtual Review clinics are to be increased via a WG funded successful bid.

Reviewed by AD& PT Sustainable plans are under way and are on target against follow up trajectory backlog. 20/21 sustainable plans are currently being drafted. Risk score reviewed to maintain at 20.

Although routine outpatient's appointment are not being undertaken due to COVID-19 those patients at high risk i.e. wet AMD are still being seen and receiving treatment and those patients in other high risk specialties such as glaucoma are being reviewed virtually and if deemed necessary attending for urgent appointments.

Since the advent of the Covid-19 outbreak only the following essential Eye services have been maintained during Covid 19.

- AMD treatments
- Retina services
- Rapid Access Eye clinic (RACE Eye Casualty)

As a consequence, the progress made through the previous eye care initiatives has been reversed.

During the pandemic the following has been achieved:

- Paediatric 2 consultants have started with a post Covid timetable covering Hywel Dda sessions under SLA contract.
- Diabetic Retina Band 4 Coordinator appointed from interview 19th June 2020.
- Glaucoma Strawberry Place ODTC clinics to resume for 3 months from July 2020 while we look for alterative accommodation, which has now been secure in NPT Resource Centre.


Some clinically urgent Cataract operations have been undertaken through May and June 2020. The progress made in reducing follow up patients has been reversed due to significant reduction in capacity during pandemic. Revised action plans to recover the position have been developed but are reliant on post Covid activity levels being restored.

Datix ID Number: 2003 Health & Care Standard:	Effective Care 3.1 Clinically Effective Care	HBR Ref Number: 60 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Digitally Enab		Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Assuring Committee: Audit Comr		
The health board has incr cyber-security attack is mu The introduction of the Ne can be issued to organisa A report from the departm NHS (England) £92m as 1 The largest risk to the org	igh level risk / incidents is at an unprecedented level and health is a known target. eased digital services (users, devices and systems) and therefore the impact of a uch higher than in previous years. twork and Information Systems Directive (NISD) in May 2018 means that large fines tions that are not compliant with the Directive. ent of health following the Wannacry incident in May 2017 stated that attack cost the 19,000 appointments were cancelled and this was before the NISD came into effect. anisation is on user awareness and unsupported software (old versions which are no y vulnerabilities) and devices not managed by the ICT department e.g. medical	Date last reviewed: February 202	1	
Risk Rating(consequence xlikelihood):Initial: 5 x 4 = 20Current: 5 x 4 = 20Target: 5 x 3 = 15Level of Control	-20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 -15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	 Rationale for current score: C and L The level of cyber security incidents is at an unprecedented level health is a known target. The health board has increased digital services (users, devices systems) and therefore the impact of a cybersecurity attack is m than in previous years. Rationale for target score: 		, devices and
Date added to the HB risk register July 2019	Na ¹² Ap ¹² Na ¹² In ¹² In ¹² Aug ² Sep ² Oct ² No ¹² Dec ² In ¹² Feb ² — Target Score — Risk Score	C- Will remain the same or increas information L- The overall likelihood score wou 8A and 2 x Band 6 are not recruite	ld increase to (20) i	
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (W	/hat more should	we do?)
 Cyber Security Manager and supporting roles now in place. The national security tools will highlight vulnerabilities and provide warnings when potential attacks are occurring. Swansea Bay will adopt these tools in financial year 2019/20. The NHS in Wales is protected by a firewall by NHS Wales Informatics Service (NWIS). Swansea Bay UHB has advanced firewall protection to protect the network from potential cyber- attacks. 		Action Raise awareness of Cyber Security across the whole Health Board through training and awareness tools and communications.	Lead Cyber Security Manager	Úeadline 1st April 2021

Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)	
A ditionally to address areas of non-compliance. Risk Rating 4 = 20 Band 8a Cyber Security Manager appointed October 2019. Microsoft patching is compliant. NISD CAF completed and submitted to OSSMB. 2 Band (6) Cyber Security staff have now been appointed and are due to commence shortly. (completed) National Security Tool - SIEM Systems integrated, currently working on the final interfaces. NESSUS still awaiting National timescales for NWIS for rollout. Meetings in progress to make Cyber Security Training mandatory across the Health Board. Papers on progress on Cyber Security have been sent to the Senior Leadership Team, Audit committee and Health Board meetings and were well received in each of those. The progress on the establishment of a dedicated Cyber Security team and adoption of local and national cyber tools to improve cyber defences and establish proactive monitoring was	
	Additional Comments Band 8a Cyber Security Manager appointed October 2019. Microsoft patching is compliant. NISD CAF completed and submitted to OSSMB. 2 Band (6) Cyber Security staff have now been appointed and are due to commence shortly. (completed) National Security Tool - SIEM Systems integrated, currently working on the final interfaces. NESSUS still awaiting National timescales for NWIS for rollout. Meetings in progress to make Cyber Security Training mandatory across the Health Board. Papers on progress on Cyber Security have been sent to the Senior Leadership Team, Audit committee and Health Board meetings and we well received in each of those. The progress on the establishment of a

noted. The risk score of 20 remains as the largest risk to Cyber Security are the staff that access computer systems such as inadvertently clicking on a malicious link in a Phishing email. The Senior Leadership Team agreed, in principle, for Cyber Security Training to be made mandatory. A further paper for approval, describing the implications for the workforce, will be submitted to a future SLT meeting. National Security Tool -SIEM Systems integrated currently working on final interfaces. NESSUS still awaiting national timescales from NWIS for rollout. Following from the previous update, Cyber Team now use the Security Information and Event Management system (SIEM) daily to provide a dashboard for security monitoring to ensure visibility of potential cyber threats. Training for Cyber staff on operational use of the SIEM is was due in March 2020, but was delayed as a result of COVID and is now scheduled for October SIEM training has now been completed
for October. SIEM training has now been completed.

Datix ID Number: 1587 Health & Care Standard: 3.1 Safe and Clinically Effective Care	HBR Ref Number: 61 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Identify alternative arrangements to Parkway Clinic for the delivery of dental paediatric GA services on the Morriston Hospital SDU site consistent with the needs of the population and existing WG and Health Board policies. Risk: Paediatric dental GA/Sedation services provided under contract from Parkway Clinic, Swansea. Medical Safety risk GAs performed on children outside of an acute hospital setting.	Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety C Commissioning Committee Date last reviewed: February 2021	•	r Planning and
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 3 = 15 Current: 4 x 4 = 16 Target: 4 x 2 = 8	Rationale for current score: There is no immediate access to crash team the client group are undergoing G/A/sedatio provided under contract from Parkway Clinic capacity for these patients to be accommod	n. Paediatric GA/S c, Swansea continu	edation services ue due to lack of
Level of Control = 60% Date added to the HB risk register 4 th July 2018	Rationale for target score: Relocation of the paediatric GA service [pro hospital site being treated as a priority		_
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
 Consultant Anaesthetist present for every General Anaesthetic clinic. 	Action	Lead	Deadline
 Assurance Documentation supplied by Parkway Clinic including confirmation of arrangements in place with WAST and Morriston Hospital for transfer and treatment of patients New care pathway implemented - no direct referrals to provider for GA. Multi-drug sedation ceased from Sep 2018 in line with WHC 2018 009 Revised SLA/Service Specification HIW Inspection Visit Documentation provided to HB All extended GA cases require approval from paediatric specialist prior to treatment 	Transfer of services from Parkway.	Interim Head of Primary Care	31⁵t May 2021
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) RMC collate referral and treatment outcome data for review by Paediatric Specialist Regular clinical meeting arranged with Parkway to discuss individual cases/concerns Regular clinical/ management meeting for CDS/primary care management team to discuss service pathway /concerns/issues arising Roll out of new pathway to encompass urgent referrals 	collate referral and treatment outcome data for review by Paediatric Specialist ar clinical meeting arranged with Parkway to discuss individual cases/concerns ar clinical/ management meeting for CDS/primary care management team to discuss be pathway /concerns/issues arising		
Current Risk Rating 4 X 4 = 16	Additional Con Task & Finish Group continue to progress tr Action moved to May 2021 due to Covid pre	ansfer of service to	



Datix ID Number: 2023 Health & Care Standard: Staff Resources 7.1 Workforce Health & Care Standard: Staff Resources 7.1 Workforce				
Objective : Excellent Star Risk: Sustainable Corpo organisational strategy, a in support of the whole of		Director Lead: Kathryn Jones, Director of Workforce & OD Assuring Committee: Workforce and OD Committee which respects and promotes the		
	orporate services and organisational objectives due to insufficient staff.	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 5 = 20 Current: 5 x 4 = 20 Target: 4 x 3 = 12Level of Control = 50%Date added to the HB risk register August 2019	-20 20 <t< td=""><td colspan="3"> Rationale for current score: Constraints, stress and resourcing of corporate services post Bridgend Boundary Change and in light of the change agenda in the Health Board. Current resourcing levels have been benchmarked with other Health Boards, in some areas. The Fina department has been under considerable pressure due to the work required to supp the Health Board's Targeted Intervention status and the Bridgend boundary change Rationale for target score: Sustainable services will always encounter turnover ar need to develop skill set and capabilities. Target score reflects requirement to resource to be able to most the operational age </td></t<>	 Rationale for current score: Constraints, stress and resourcing of corporate services post Bridgend Boundary Change and in light of the change agenda in the Health Board. Current resourcing levels have been benchmarked with other Health Boards, in some areas. The Fina department has been under considerable pressure due to the work required to supp the Health Board's Targeted Intervention status and the Bridgend boundary change Rationale for target score: Sustainable services will always encounter turnover ar need to develop skill set and capabilities. Target score reflects requirement to resource to be able to most the operational age 		
Cor	ntrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more s	hould we do?)	
Designing and Developing new Operating model for the Health Board Designing and Developing HB HQ and Corporate structures To conclude the recruitment process the structures		To conclude the recruitment process for the critical corporate posts including the Workforce and OD	Lead Chief Executive	Deadline26th March2021
•	things we are doing are having an impact?) er / early autumn on corporate services structures, operating model and	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)		
Current Risk Rating 5 x 4 = 20		Additional Commer Utilise temporary funded capacity to meet immediate resourcing issue at corporate level and through comm Review of corporate 'critical' posts have been underta for investment in the Workforce and OD Function. The phased basis. As a result of the COVID-19 all recruitment has been diverted. Business as usual is on hold.	areas of risk. Co nittee governand iken including re hese posts will b	e arrangements. sourcing required e recruited to on a

Datix ID Number: 160		HBR Ref Number: 63		
	rd: 3.1 Safe and Clinically Effective Care or Fetal Growth Assessment in line with Gap-Grow (G&G)	Target Date: 31 st March 2021 Director Lead: Christine Willia		raing and Dationt
Objective. Screening in	or relation of the sessifient in the will dap-drow (dad)	Experience	anis, intenin Director of Nu	Ising and Fallent
			y and Safety Committee	
Risk : There is evidence	e a growth restricted/small for gestational age fetus (SGA), has an increased risk of	Date last reviewed: February		
	re or during the intrapartum period. Identification and appropriate management for	Dute last reviewed. I containy		
	Id lead to improved outcomes. GAP & Grow standards were implemented to			
	ion of stillbirth rates in wales. Obstetric USS scan appointments are at capacity			
	aining required appointments. In addition, the guidance from Gap & Grow is for			
	scanning with a risk factor for a growth restricted baby must have 3 weekly scans			
	tation. Due to the scanning capacity there are significant challenges in achieving			
this standard.				
Risk Rating		Rationale for current score:		
(consequence x		CSFM's leading on audit revie	wing records of all women	where SGA not identified
likelihood):	-20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	in antenatal period. Scanning		
Initial: 4 x 3 = 12		Meeting arranged with radiolog	0, 0	
Current: 4 x 5 = 20	-12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	sonographer third trimester sc		ed to submit Datix incident
Target: 3 x 4 = 12		where scan not available in lin	e with standards.	
Level of Control				
= 60%	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
Date added to the	Ward April ward wird wird wird were septe occil word been word febrit	Rationale for target score:		
HB risk register				
1 st August 2019		Compliance with Gap & Grow	•	
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
	raining on Gap & Grow and detection of small for gestational babies. Obstetric	Action	Lead	Deadline
	ss the HB is being reviewed and compliance with criteria for scanning is being	Adherence to Gap/Grow	Deputy Head of	31 st March 2021
	are assisting with finding capacity wherever possible in order to meet standards for	Standards	Midwifery	
	ng with Gap & grow recommendations.			
Assurances	()	Gaps in assurance	h [-]	
	ne things we are doing are having an impact?)	(What additional assurances	s should we seek?)	
	h guidance being undertaken, detection rates of babies born below the 10th centile			
	datix and audited by the service. Ultrasound are assisting with finding capacity			
·	der to meet standards for screening and complying with Gap & grow			
recommendations.	Current Risk Rating		Additional Comments	
	$4 \times 5 = 20$	Meeting took place with Deput		HR Arrangement to
	47.5-20	meet in January 2020 to revie		
		This will form part of the anten		
		and trends to be presented to		
			a.e. me i mi obradi y 2020	

 board to progress training and recruitment of midwife sonographers. Working group in place chaired by exec lead for therapies. Approval from Health Board to progress training and recruitment of midwife sonographers. Working group in place chaired by exec lead for therapies. Oct20 - awaiting advert for MW sonographer roles. G&G training compliance monitored. Rescheduled scan frequency during COVID. Forthcoming interviews on 11.12.2020 for midwife trainee sonographers with a view to commence training in January 2021. Working with radiology to provide training opportunities with antenatal clinics. Midwife Trainee Sonographers have commenced training. Continue to work with radiology to provide a trainer for the trainees. Recruitment for a fixed term 2 year role for a sonographer trainer will commence February 2021. Training currently being provided by appropriately trained obstetrician the two trainee midwife sonographers are making good progress in their university course and practical skills training. An ultrasound machine has been purchased from capital funds and will be installed by 31/03/2021 for midwife sonographer service use.
relocation of some gynaecology clinics will free up space for a dedicated room in the antenatal clinic environment.

Datix ID Number: 215	9 d: Safe Care 2.1 Managing Risk & Promoting Health & Safety	HBR Ref Number: 64		
Objective: Best Value C Risk: Insufficient resource		Target Date: 31st March 2021 Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursing and Patient Experience Assuring Committee: Health and Safety Committee tain Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 4 = 20 Current: 5 x 4 = 20 Target: 4 x 3 = 12 Level of Control = 70% Date added to the	-20 20 <t< td=""><td colspan="2"> aggression and manual handling, limited assurance internal audit reports for safety management and COSHH, and a fire enforcement notice for one of our sites. Fire risk assessment frequencies are not being kept up to date. Statutory/mandatory training provision and recording will not be sustainable. Unable to support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire an indication of the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V</td><td>violence and t reports for water for one of our date. sustainable. V&A), fire and ith implications of ve requirements. mplement a rements of the</td></t<>	 aggression and manual handling, limited assurance internal audit reports for safety management and COSHH, and a fire enforcement notice for one of our sites. Fire risk assessment frequencies are not being kept up to date. Statutory/mandatory training provision and recording will not be sustainable. Unable to support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire an indication of the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V&A), fire and the support units sufficiently for H&S, case management (V		violence and t reports for water for one of our date. sustainable. V&A), fire and ith implications of ve requirements. mplement a rements of the
HB risk register September 2019		Board to demonstrate that suitable resources ar and responsibilities of the department, and to ur training, provide corporate overview/audit to ens in the workplace. Risk assessments are being u frequencies and periodic audits are taking place departments.	e in place to undendertake suitable sure practices are ndertaken within to support the va	ertake the roles and sufficient being employed required arious units and
	ontrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What mor		
 HSE Improvement working group set up to address the HSE recommendations and meets fortnightly to monitor the improvement action plan. Interim posts of Assistant Director of Health and Safety and Interim Head of Compliance employed on secondment to support strengthening and developing the H&S function Health and Safety Operational Group meets quarterly and reports to the Health and Safety Committee Water safety management action plan in place COSHH procedure reviewed and updated Fire risk assessments are being undertaken at priority sites (patient areas) to address recommendations of the MAWWFRS 		Action Health and safety department structure to be reviewed and produce proposals, business case Health and safety structure review to be presented to the H&S Committee	Lead Assistant Director of H&S Assistant Director of H&S	Deadline31st March202131st March2021

Fire training in place and fire wardens in place	
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Monitoring through the H&S committee to receive assurance and or identify gaps for key compliance and adherence to applicable legislation. HSE focus group monitor compliance against the 10 improvement notices and report to the H&S operational group and H&S committee. Site visits/tours to identify compliance and gaps in compliances. 	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?)
Current Risk Rating 5 X 4 = 20	Additional Comments The re-inspections took place w/c 16 September 2019, visiting NPTH on 16th, Singleton & Morriston Hospital on 17th, Tonna Hospital and NPTH on 18th and NPTH on 20th. All visits went well overall with a number seven of the ten notices closed and three extended to 6th December 2019. A further visit was arranged for 5th December (Theatres at Singleton) where it was confirmed that two more notices were complied with and the other one extended to 31 January 2020. Confirmation via email was received on 7th February that all improvement notices have been complied with. Business case to be written by 31st October 2020. Re-structure review to be presented to H&S committee during 3rd quarter 2020/21. Long term plans to be developed to understand the Health and Safety resource requirements for the Health Board. The restructure is to be reviewed and business case written by 31st October 2020. Due to the pandemic (COVID-19) progress has been minimal and will review when operationally possible, this could be delayed until October/November 2020. Initial review undertaken and an early draft is currently having costs drawn up for the draft options to be submitted to Execs. COVID-19 has had an impact of the progression of this and will be presented on Q4. Due to the pandemic (COVID-19) progress has been minimal and will review when operationally possible, this could be delayed until March 2021 24.02.21 - Long term plans to be developed to understand the health and safety resource requirements for SBUHB.


Datix ID Number: 329 Health & Care Standard: 3.1 Safe and Clinically Effective Ca		HBR Ref Number: 65 Target Date: 31 st March 2021		
Objective: Digitally enabled Care		Director Lead: Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursi	ng and Patien	t Experience
		Assuring Committee: Quality & Safety Committee	•	•
Risk: Risk associated with misinterpreting abnormal cardiotocog	raphy readings in the delivery	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
room. A central monitoring station would enable multi-disciplinary	viewing and discussion of the	Rationale for current score:		
readings to take place, and reduce the risk of a concerning CTG		Meeting with K2, IT, finance, procurement and midwifery te		
Provisionally scored C4 (irrecoverable injury) x L3= 12. The cent		viewed and IT needs identified. Final costing to be assessed	ed prior to resu	ubmission to
facility to archive the CTG recordings: currently these tracings ar which can be lost from the maternity records. There is also a con over time which makes defending claims very difficult.		IBG in Oct or November 2019.		
Risk Rating		Rationale for target score:		
(consequence x		ותמוטוומול וטו נמושבו שנטוב.		
likelihood):				
Initial: $4 \times 4 = 16$	- 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20			
Current: $4 \times 5 = 20$				
Target: 4 x 2 = 8	8 8 8 8 8 8			
Level of Control				
= 50% 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25,	Octal Noval Decal Istal testa			
	Or No. Der Ist Ker			
HB risk register				
31 st December 2011				
Controls (What are we currently doing ab		Mitigating actions (What more should		
Current controls include all staff undertaking RCOG CTG training		Action	Lead	Deadline
Protocol in place for an hourly "fresh eyes" on 'intrapartum CTG's		Business case prepared for Central monitoring system to	Deputy	31 st March
prompting stickers have been implemented to correctly categoris	0	store CTG recordings of fetal heart rate in electronic	Head of	2021
monitoring is also expected to strengthen the HB's position in def		format.	Midwifery	
monitoring system has been identified as the best option for a ce				
Assurances		Gaps in assurance		
(How do we know if the things we are doing are having an im		(What additional assurances should we seek?)		
All Wales Fetal Surveillance Standards for 6hrs Fetal Surveillance	e Training per year			
Current Risk Rating		Additional Comments	al la sur de	- f
4 X 5 = 20		Submission to IGB in January 2019. CTG envelopes place		
		safe storage of CTG. Business case completed by materni		
		professional team. Remaining issue outstanding is the finar	icial detail fror	1111. 10
		ensure submission of case in January 2020	alissams sumit fires	anaa diraatar
		Initial capital funding has been agreed. Meeting held with de		
		head of IT and procurement to agree if tendering process re		
		describe what specifications are required. Decision awaited tendering process is required.	nom procure	ment lead IT

Tenders have been received, Narrowed down to one suitable provider. Procurement
are continuing with the process.
Chosen provider for central monitoring system agreed.
The chosen monitoring system will include a computerised analysis algorithm as
recommended by HIW.
Funding for central monitoring approved for 2021/22
Meeting to be arranged with provider and key stakeholders in SBU to commence the
project toward installation and training.

Datix ID Number: 1834 Health & Care Standard: 5.1 Timely Care	HBR Ref Number: 66 Target Date: 31 st March 2022
Objective: Best values outcomes from high quality care	Director Lead: Richard Evans, Executive Medical Director
- ,	Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee
Risk: Unacceptable delays in access to SACT treatment in Chemotherapy Day Unit	Date last reviewed: February 2021
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: $5 \times 5 = 25$ Current: $5 \times 5 = 25$ Target: $2 \times 2 = 4$ Level of Control	Rationale for current score: Increased risk to 25 as waiting times starting to re- increase for Long chair regimes, discussed at oncology business meeting.
Date added to the Nation Address of the Nation Addres of the Nation Address of t	Rationale for target score:
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)
Review of CDU by improvement science practitioner	Action Lead Deadline
Increase nursing staff x 1 at risk, to ensure all nurses are working appropriately. Review of scheduling by staff to ensure all chairs used appropriately. Options appraisal to be completed for SSDU senior management team by service group	Options appraisal paper to be produced for SSDU senior team by service groupService Manager Surgical Services26th February 2021
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Extra nurse in place reliant on agency. Senior team meeting to review findings of service re paper. Additional funding agreed to support increase in nurse establish to appropriately rur during their main opening hours	
Current Risk Rating	Additional Comments
5 X 5 = 25	 Additional staffing in place from Dec 19 to allow full use of chairs but capacity gap remains. Looking at options around use of additional SACT capacity via Tenovus. Also working with MSD/GE around potential partnership agreement to look at C&D mapping and best practice elsewhere with visit to Leeds being arranged by MSD colleagues. Covid has impact on demand WT continue to improve average wait for Chair time at present is 11days - decrease from 21days. Some of this links to Covid changes, as part of recovery plan need to understand better the future need. Currently lost 3chairs due to Covid-19 and waiting times at 15days at end of June 2020. Meeting with GE/MSD - taking place waiting on partnership agreement paperwork to

13.01.21 Work has identified significant gap in our chair capacity- current shortfall 7, with an additional 10 chairs required by 2023/24, based on current horizon scanning. Final report confirming this is outstanding. Working on project plan around how we deliver the increased 7 chairs.

Datix ID Number: 89 Health & Care Standard: 5.1 Timely Care	HBR Ref Number: 67		
Objective: Best values outcomes from high quality care	Target Date: 31st March 2022 Director Lead: Richard Evans, Executive Medical Director Assuring Committee: Quality and Safety Committee		
Risk: Clinical risk-target breeches in the provision of radical radiotherapy treatment. Due to capacity and demand issues the department is experiencing target breaches in the provision of radical radiotherapy treatment to patients.	Date last reviewed: February 2021	-	
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): 25 <td< td=""><td>Rationale for current score: Waiting times deteriorating for elective discussed in Oncology business meet Rationale for target score:</td><td></td><td>prostates</td></td<>	Rationale for current score: Waiting times deteriorating for elective discussed in Oncology business meet Rationale for target score:		prostates
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
Requests for treatment and treatment dates monitored by senior management team.	Action Additional RT capacity plan	Lead Service Manager Cancer Services	Deadline 31 st March 2021
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) Performance and activity data is being monitored and monthly data shared with radiotherapy management meeting and cancer board. It is also now included in scorecard.	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances shou	ld we seek?)	
Additional CommentsCurrent Risk RatingRadiotherapy waiting times continue to cause concerns, new COSO5 X 5 = 25launched this year mean we now reporting Rx waiting times to WGPerformance has been added to this risk. Options to increase our include in PBC for SWWCC which is being developed and internalwith QI colleagues is also being reviewed. Rx Performance is discuRadiotherapy management meeting and papers are chased in CanAgreement has been reached around outsourcing 12 prostate radiomonth for 6 months to Rutherford. Commencing in January 2020. Vextended day is further reviewed.Contract signed off by Executive Team Jan 2020. Patients are beinattend Rutherford Cancer Centre and patient details being sent to FCentre.		Sept capacity and efficiency work ssed in cer Board. otherapy cases per Vhile case for g approached to	



Datix ID Number: 22		HBR Ref Number: 68			
Objective: Best Value	rd: 2.4 Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) and Decontamination Outcomes from High Quality Care pandemic due to Coronavirus Infectious Disease outbreak 2020 leading to	Target Date: 31 st March 2021 Director Lead: Keith Reid, Exer Assuring Committee: Quality Date last reviewed: February 2	and Safety Committee		
disruption to Health Bo		,	-		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood):Initial: 4 x 5 = 20Current: 5 x 5 = 25Target: 3 x 2 = 6Level of Control =Date added to the HB risk register	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	Rationale for current score:Separate risk register capturing managing with high risks relating • COVID Equipment – in • COVID Workforce 		e Health Board are	
27/02/2020			(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	<u></u>	
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)		Mitigating actions (What more should we do?) Action Lead Deadline		
 Non-COVID19 Staff exclusion PPE guidance Engagement Field hospitals Primary Care Work with loca Acting in conc 	d Control structure stood up. 9 activity curtailed. ns and testing in place.	Pandemic Plans invoked	Lead Director of Public Health Wales	Monthly Ongoing	
 Community te PPE training a Command and Engagement or responses. 	he things we are doing are having an impact?) sting arrangements are active - Early detection. and procurement centrally co-ordinated. d control structures are monitoring effectiveness of corporate response. with All wales co-ordinating groups - alignment of local and national local resilience forum arrangements.	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances so Visibility and scrutiny of local pla			

Current Risk Rating 5 X 5 = 25	Additional Comments Mitigation as follows to identify and reduce risks of spread of infection: Pandemic plans invoked Command, Control and Coordination arrangements in place with Strategic, Tactical and bronze Groups in place to ensure Health Board wide engagement and instigate required planning including: Patient flow pathway scenarios for unwell patients and well patients that may self-present in both acute and Primary and Community Care Appropriate PPE kit and training Appropriate support service pathways for cleaning, decontamination, waste and linen management Multi-agency engagement
	 Community Testing arrangements Workforce review Identified isolation facilities.
	Pandemic was declared. Health Board stood up 3CF structures and response on 31 January 2020. System wide response in place. Lockdown established 23 rd March. Current levels of demand are containable within existing capacity. Expectations that initial peak of infections has been managed within capacity.

Datix ID Number: 1418	HBR Ref Number: 69				
Health & Care Standard: 5.1 Timely Access	Target Date: 31 st March 2021				
Objective: Best values outcomes from high quality care	Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating	g Officer/Christine Wil	liams, Interim		
	Director of Nursing and Patient Experience				
	Assuring Committee: Performance and Fi	inance Committee			
Risk: Risk issues Related to adolescent patients being admitted to Adult MH inpatient wards-	Date last reviewed: February 2021				
Inappropriate settings resulting in 'Safeguarding Issues' The WG has requested that HBs identify					
Secondary Care in -patient facilities for the care of adolescents- in Swansea Bay University Health					
Board Ward F NPT hospital is the dedicated receiving facility with one bed identified.					
Risk Rating	Rationale for current score:				
(consequence x	Risk score reduced to 16.				
likelihood): 20 20 20 20 20 20					
Initial: $2 \times 3 = 6$ 16 16 16 16 16 16					
Current: 4 x 4 = 16					
Target: $2 \times 3 = 4$ -66666666					
Level of Control					
$= \frac{1}{2}$ Date added to the $N^{a^{1/2}} A^{a^{1/2}} N^{a^{1/2}} N^{a^{1/2}} M^{a^{1/2}} M^{a^{1/2}$	Define the fact to serve the server				
Date added to the	Rationale for target score:				
HB risk register Target Score Risk Score Risk Score					
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)				
Safeguarding Training for Staff, Joint protocol with Cwm Taf LHB [CAMHS] currently subject to	Action	Lead	Deadline		
review, Local SBUHB policy on providing care to young people in this environment. This includes	Review of Service by Swansea Bay Youth	Assistant Head of	28 th February 202		
the requirement for all such patients on admission to be subject to Level 3 Safe and Supportive	Review of Service by Swallsea bay Toulin	Operations MH	20 ^m February 202		
observations.					
	Learning event to be held facilitated by the	Deputy Director	31 st March 2021		
	Serious Incident Team to review a number	of Nursing			
	of recommendations e.g. location of the				
	crisis assessment.				
Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance				
Individual Rooms with ensuite facilities, joint working with CAMHS, monitoring of staff training,	(What additional assurances should we s	seek?)			
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
monitoring of admissions by the MH & LD DU Legislative Committee of the HB.		Comments			
			Action Completed - Revised pathway and guidance for the management of CYP with		
Current Risk Rating	Action Completed - Revised pathway and gu				
	Action Completed - Revised pathway and gue emotional well- being issues presenting in the	ne ED in Morriston ha	s been developed in		
Current Risk Rating	Action Completed - Revised pathway and gu	he ED in Morriston ha	s been developed in		

Datix ID Number: 2		HBR Ref Number: 70		
Objective: Digitally e	dard: 3.1 Clinically Effective Care	Target Date: 31 st March 2021 Director Lead: Chris White, Chief Operating	Officer	
Objective. Digitally e		Assuring Committee: Audit Committee		
failure of national sys secondary care servin systems, infrastructur	of national data centre outages which disrupt health board services. The tems causes severe disruption across NHS Wales, affecting Primary and ces. The delivery of national services including the management of re and hosting services are the responsibility of NHS Wales Informatics	Date last reviewed: February 2021		
Service (NWIS). Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 4 x 5 = 20 Current: 4 x 5 = 20 Target: 4 x 4 = 16 Level of Control = Date added to the HB risk register 27/02/2020	-20 20 <t< td=""><td> Rationale for current score: C - The number of outages in 2018 and impact NWIS services including the wider Informatics outage, some services took as long as 2 week L - There have been a number of multi system number of factors causing outages or resulting likelihood of a recurrence in the future. Rationale for target score: C – As reliance on digital solutions for the pro of outages will also grow. Whilst controls will be impact of outages this will be offset by the gro As a result the consequence score will remain L – The likelihood of national data center outa current score of 5 is based on the fact there h years. </td><td>s services in NHS Wales. In outages over the last 2 ye g in extended outages. The vision of clinical services g be put in place to mitigate a wth in the importance of di a at 4. ges will never be fully elim</td><td>n the June 2019 ears with a erefore there is a rows the impact against the igital solutions.</td></t<>	 Rationale for current score: C - The number of outages in 2018 and impact NWIS services including the wider Informatics outage, some services took as long as 2 week L - There have been a number of multi system number of factors causing outages or resulting likelihood of a recurrence in the future. Rationale for target score: C – As reliance on digital solutions for the pro of outages will also grow. Whilst controls will be impact of outages this will be offset by the gro As a result the consequence score will remain L – The likelihood of national data center outa current score of 5 is based on the fact there h years. 	s services in NHS Wales. In outages over the last 2 ye g in extended outages. The vision of clinical services g be put in place to mitigate a wth in the importance of di a at 4. ges will never be fully elim	n the June 2019 ears with a erefore there is a rows the impact against the igital solutions.
C	ontrols (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)		
	astructure Management Board (IMB) and Service Management Board	Action	Lead	Deadline
(SMB) are the bo	ards that oversee Major Incidents, identify risks for national services and dations to improve the availability of national services.	Representation at SMB, IMB and NSMB	Head of ICT Operations	1 st April 2021
 These boards meet monthly to hold NWIS to account for delivery of services. Infrastructure major incident reviews are undertaken with selected board members and recommendations agreed in the board. The impact of outages is partly mitigated by the Business Continuity plans that are in place within the Service Delivery Units to allow operational services to continue during a data centre service outage. 		Representation on EPRR	Informatics Business Manager	1 st April 2021
Assurances (How do we know if	the things we are doing are having an impact?)	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we se	ek?)	

NWIS have a Programme of works to upgrade out of date equipment. The network upgrade Programme was completed this year at the NDC and BDC. The final report on the BDC outage has been received and recommendations put in place to increase maintenance levels and monitoring. NWIS have produced an action plan which is agreed in the IMB and progress monitored. Any deviation from the action plan will be escalated to the SMB and if appropriate to the NHS Wales Informatics Management Board which is chaired by the Chief Executive Officer of NHS Wales and has Executive level board members. In addition, it is recommended that serious consideration should be given to identifying and funding an alternative Tier 3+ facility (in line with the NDC) to host these critical systems. WLIMS 2016 upgrade is required to address some of the technical issues experienced on the existing version. This is planned for September 2020. A re- procurement of a new Pathology Laboratory Information Management system is in progress with timescales An architecture review is underway to assess current services and make recommendations on future services (including hosting services).	
Current Risk Rating 4 X 5 = 20	Additional Comments Action completed 29.01.21: Representation at NWIS Directors Meetings

Health & Care Standard: 2.1.1 Managing Financial Risk Image: Comparison of Compari		HBR Ref Number: 72 Target Date: 31 st December 2020 Director Lead: Darren Griffiths. Director of Finance (interim) Assuring Committee: Performance and Finance Committee		
Risk Rating (consequence x likelihood): Initial: 5 x 4 = 20 Current: 5 x 3 = 15 Target: 5 x 1 = 5	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 9 15 9 9 15 9 9 15 9 15 9 15 9 15 9 15 15 9 15 15 9 15 15 9 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	 Rationale for current score: COVID-19 impact on Capital Resource Limit and Capital Plan for 2020-21- Risk reduced from 20 to 15. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the level of capital resource available to Welsh Government to support Health Boards is restricted. This means that Health Boards have been advised that their current agreed Capital Resource Limit will not b increased. The current Health Board capital plan included commitments for which further Welsh Government capital resource was anticipated, which results in a potential over- commitment of the capital plan of around £7.5m. It is likely that due to slippage on capital schemes, this over-commitment will reduce. There is a potential for further capital requirements arising from service model changes which will need to be managed. Some schemes may have to be slipped in terms of timeframe to ensure the integrity of the CRL in 2020/21. 		
Level of Control = 25% Date added to the risk register July 2020		Rationale for target score: The continued prioritization of the capital plan an	d close manager	nent of slippage.
	Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)	Mitigating actions (What m	ore should we d	
	doing the following: -	Action	Lead	Deadline
 Regular dialogue with Welsh Government regarding capital requirements. Clear communication and reporting of the capital position, the risks and limitations. Close management of all schemes to ensure slippage is understood along with the impact on service. Clear prioritisation of any new requirements recognising the current constraints 		Appraise Welsh Government of content of revised plan to consider possibilities of support for key areas.	Head of Capital Finance	31 st March 2021

 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) The Health Board capital position is reviewed and monitored through: Monthly capital prioritisation group Performance and Finance Committee Monthly Monitoring Returns to Welsh Government. 	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) Reporting on impact of constraints to the capital programme on service delivery.
Current Risk Rating 3 x 3 = 9	Additional Comments The capital plan remains balanced and unchanged at this point and will remain at 20. Further dialogue is ongoing with Welsh Government and this risk will be revised in light of this. Action Closed - Appraise Welsh Government of content of revised plan to consider possibilities of support for key areas - Revised plan agreed with WG. Additional resources received for COVID spend. Reduce to 9 and oversee on the Finance Risk Register. Risk to be removed off HBRR in March 2021

Datix ID Number: 2450					
Health & Care Standard: 2.1.1 M Objective: Best Value Outcomes The Health Board underlying fina pandemic. The COVID-19 pande execute the required level of recu		cost		Risk Rated 20 the Health Board is savings opportunities tainty as to the resource inchanged. savings delivery. The plans were not fully April to produce clear ement response and	
		 Where clear plans had been developed, in implementation of the plan has been delayed taken forward due to changes in service delayed taken forward due to changes in service delayed as a result of COVID-19 pandemic. Some ways of working will remain in place post pathe cost base of the Health Board. 	the majority of ca ed and may no lo livery models. the Health Boar of the changes to	ases the onger be able to be rd have had to change o service delivery and	
Level of Control = 25% Date added to the HB risk register July 2020		Rationale for target score: By ensuring that opportunities are taken to drive forward efficiency opportunities and service changes to support improved service and financial sustainability.			
Controls (What are we currently doing about the risk?)		Mitigating actions (What more should we do?)			
The Health Board is doing the following: -		Action	Lead	Deadline	

 Active participation in weekly Director of Finance calls to shape All Wales response Finance Review Meetings with Units to explore opportunities to maintain cost control, savings delivery and a proportionate COVID-19 response Transparent exchange of position with Finance Delivery Unit Review of opportunities through Reset and Recovery to ensure efficiencies are 	Savings opportunities and pipeline to be reviewed and options for development of plans taken forward through SLT	Director of Finance	31 st March 2021 Monthly ongoing
 developed and maximised. Clear understanding of underlying impact of changes to service models and costs of new service models. Review all of KPMG pipeline savings opportunities to test whether these can be accelerated in the light of COVID-19 impact. 	Impact of reset and recovery to be assessed through QIA process to ensure clear understanding of impact on underlying cost base.	Director of Finance	31 st March 2021 Monthly ongoing
 Assurances (How do we know if the things we are doing are having an impact?) The Health Board financial performance is reviewed and monitored through: Monthly financial recovery meetings Performance and Finance Committee Routine reporting to Board of most recent monthly position and impact on year end forecast of changes in response to the disease and national funding streams 	Gaps in assurance (What additional assurances should we seek?) Reporting on savings opportunities and service change impacts to be developed.		
Current Risk Rating 4 x 5 = 20	Additional Comments Monthly financial review and assessment of savings to be included in financial reporting – Action closed. Savings update now part of every FRM with service groups and routinely reported to PFC. The residual cost base risk remains unchanged and whilst the Health Board is working hard to control underlying run rate and to seek out savings opportunities wherever possible, there is currently understandable uncertainty as to the resource arrangements for 2021/22.		

Risk Score Calculation

For each risk identified, the LIKELIHOOD & CONSEQUENCE mechanism will be utilised. Essentially this examines each of the risks and attempts to assess the likelihood of the event occurring (PROBABLILITY) and the effect it could have on the Health Board (IMPACT). This process ensures that the Health Board will be focusing on those risks which require immediate attention rather than spending time on areas which are, relatively, a lower priority.

Risk Matrix	LIKELIHOOD (*)				
CONSEQUENCE (**)	1 - Rare	2 - Unlikely	3 - Possible	4 - Probable	5 - Expected
1 - Negligible	1	2	3	4	5
2 - Minor	2	4	6	8	10
3 - Moderate	3	6	9	12	15
4 - Major	4	8	12	16	20
5 - Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25