



GIG
CYMRU
NHS
WALES

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol
Bae Abertawe
Swansea Bay University
Health Board



		Agenda Item	5.1 (iii)
Freedom of Information Status		Open	
Reporting Committee	Mental Health Legislation Committee		
Author	Claire Mulcahy, Corporate Governance Manager		
Chaired by	Martyn Waygood, Interim Vice-Chair		
Lead Executive Director (s)	Christine Williams, Interim Director of Nursing and Patient Experience		
Date of last meeting	06 August 2020		
Summary of key matters considered by the committee and any related decisions made.			
Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards Update (DoLS);			
<p>Mental Capacity Act 2005 - An update was received on the performance against the MCA for the period between 1st January 2020 and 31st March 2020, in total there had been 23 instructions for the Independent Mental Capacity Advocate Service (IMCA). Mental Capacity Act level 1 and 2 training courses continued to be delivered via e-learning and level 3 was being facilitated via a workshop but this had been suspended due to COVID-19. All court of protection cases were now being recorded and maintained on Datix and Units were responsible for managing and maintaining via this method.</p> <p>The legislative changes moving from Deprivation of Liberty standards (DoLS) to Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS) had been delayed due to COVID-19 but would be implemented in April 2022.</p> <p>DoLS - Members were updated on the DoLS quarter 4 position and advised there had been 206 referrals. Internal best interest assessors (BIA's) had undertaken 58 assessments, of those 47 were completed by the dedicated assessors. The number of assessments completed within the required timeframe stood at 61%, which was an improvement on last year's performance, which stood at 26%. Most breaches were due to a continued lack of BIAs. The issue of internal BIA's not being released from their roles due to competing demands in Units still remained. Members agreed the need for a different model on this as there would always be a risk of competing demands at unit level. It was requested that some demand and capacity work be carried out to establish what was required in terms of BIA's.</p> <p>Care and Treatment Planning Update - The key focus in this area was the quality of the care and treatment plans (CTPs) and work streams were underway within the unit with a focus on 3 areas; service user engagement, carer involvement and risk assessments. Although good progress had been made, it was apparent that the pandemic had had an effect on the progress of actions. The committee were assured that outstanding actions would be followed up through the second half of the year.</p>			

Key risks and issues/matters of concern of which the board needs to be made aware:	
<p>Mental Health Measure Monitoring Report;</p> <p>The overall performance against the measure was on target for all four areas. The pandemic had made an impact on performance, in particular where patients have not been attending GPs and therefore a decrease in referrals and the inability to provide face-to-face referrals. Members were advised that there was an expectation that demand would increase due to re-percussions of the pandemic and target dates would be revised due to national changes and pathway reviews. A group had been established to prepare and monitor this.</p> <p>Mental Health Act (MHA) 1983 Monitoring Report;</p> <p>The committee received an update on performance against the MHA. During the period, there had been <i>seventy exceptions</i> and <i>four invalid detentions</i> identified by the department. A detailed piece of work was being undertaken to ascertain the reason for the increase in exceptions, it did not appear to be an increase in errors but differences in reporting processes and interpretation within the MHA team. Members were assured that a review of processes within the MHA team was underway to meet the changes in technology post COVID-19, to enhance and improve communication and to rectify errors quickly. During the period, hospital managers' panels were suspended but these have now been reinstated virtually and the backlog had been successfully cleared.</p>	
Delegated action by the committee:	
None identified.	
Main sources of information received:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Mental Health Legislation Committee Annual Report 2019/20 was approved (appendix 1) - The Hospital Managers' Powers of Discharge Committee Annual Report 2019/20 was approved (appendix 2) 	
Highlights from sub-groups reporting into this committee:	
None identified.	
Matters referred to other committees	
None identified.	
Date of next meeting	05 November 2020



Mental Health Legislation Committee Annual Report 2019-20



GIG
CYMRU
NHS
WALES

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol
Bae Abertawe
Swansea Bay University
Health Board

Contents

Introduction	Page 3
Committee Structure	Page 3
Reports Received	Page 4
Conclusion	Page 6

1. Introduction

The principle remit of the Mental Health Legislation Committee is to consider and monitor the use of the Mental Health Act 1983, Mental Capacity Act 2005 (which includes the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS)) and the Mental Health (Wales) Measure 2010. A summary of the definitions of the legislation and a glossary of terms are appended at **appendix 1**.

During 2019-20, the committee met its responsibility by fulfilling its role as outlined in its terms of reference, and through the delivery of its work programme. A summary of key issues discussed was presented to the board following each committee meeting. The annual report summarises these.

2. Committee Structure

The membership of the Mental Health Legislation Committee during 2019-20 comprised:

Independent Members

- Emma Woollett, vice-chair (committee chair until May 2019)
- Martyn Waygood, independent member; (committee chair from August 2019)
- Jackie Davies, independent member;
- Maggie Berry, independent member.

Executive Directors

- Gareth Howells, Director of Nursing and Patient Experience
- Chris White, Director of Primary Care and Mental Health and Learning Disabilities

David Roberts, Service Director for Mental Health and Learning Disabilities and Lynda Rogan, Mental Health Act Manager, also attended each meeting.

Committee support in terms of the circulation of the meeting papers and minute taking was undertaken by the corporate governance function to ensure continuity with other board committees. The secretary to the committee was Claire Mulcahy, Corporate Governance Officer.

The terms of reference required the committee to meet quarterly during 2019-20, it met on four occasions.

3. Reports Received

In March 2019, the committee agreed its work programme for the coming year, which was divided into a number of sections in addition to the standing preliminary matters:

- Mental Health Act 1983
- Mental Capacity Act 2005
- Mental Health (Wales) Measure 2010

- Committee Governance

The committee received a range of reports which have been summarised below according to their categories:

Mental Health Act 1983

A regular report was received on the use of the Mental Health Act across the health board. The report provided an update on performance against the Act and gave an insight into the number of invalid detentions and exceptions and the reasons for their occurrence.

Over the period, concern was raised regarding the unlawful detention of patients for long periods due to administration errors. The committee requested further assurance that mechanisms were in place to counteract these errors. Assurance was provided in the significant work that was undertaken within the Mental Health Act Team in the form of in-house audits and control checks.

A key issue of concern for the committee was the significant level of postponement/adjournment of the hospital manager hearings. The committee has requested further assurance around the robustness of the process and as this again was a reputational risk for the health board.

Mental Capacity Act 2005

i. Mental Capacity Act Performance Report

The Health Board supports a significant number of patients with impaired decision making, and the Committee received regular reports on the use of the Mental Capacity Act throughout the Health Board, including staff training, the use of the Independent Mental Capacity Advocacy Service (IMCAs) and Health Board involvement in Court of Protection cases. The Committee is receiving increasing assurance of the work underway to improve performance.

The committee was informed that the implementation of the Liberty Protection Safeguard (LPS) would come into force in October 2020. The Safeguarding Team were liaising with Welsh Government on the implications of this. The committee sought clarification on what these changes would mean and an impact review was required to ensure the Health Board is able to comply with its legal requirements under Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS).

Members sought further clarification on the health board's Court of Protection cases and further information via a detailed report on the key themes and trends. Members heard that obtaining the data to carry out this was proving difficult; therefore the committee would undertake to escalate further in order for the analysis to be carried out.

ii. Deprivation of Liberty Standards (DoLS)

DoLS were introduced as an amendment to the Mental Capacity Act 2005 and came into force in April 2009 following the case HL vs United Kingdom (45508/99). They aim to provide legal protection for vulnerable people who are deprived of their liberty as part of their care and to prevent arbitrary decisions about deprivations of liberty. In March 2014, a Supreme Court judgement in the case of Cheshire West clarified what constitutes a deprivation of liberty; consequently there has been a significant increase in the number of applications.

Regular reports were received by the Committee providing an update on the health board's compliance with the deprivation of liberty standards and any breaches. Updates were also received on the transformational plan to improve performance in the DoLS process following a repeated internal audit review. The plan focussed on a number of key areas which included; the completion of actions under the internal audit; a reduction in unnecessary referrals including a referral prioritisation tool and the development of a dedicated DoLS team. Members felt much more assured in the work underway to improve the health board's DoLS position.

In November 2019, the committee received a presentation from members of the DoLS team. It covered a number of areas including the remit of the team, the improvements made following previous internal audits, benchmarking data with other health boards and key risks facing the team including the planned implementation of LPS legislation. Members sought clarity in terms of the resource requirement within the team and offered support to ensure there was a plan in place within the coming months in readiness for the new legislation. Members were also advised that the new legislation would require Best Interest Assessments to be carried out for CHC (continuing healthcare) patients with complex needs and this would need to be factored into the resource establishment.

Mental Health (Wales) Measure 2010

i. Mental Health Measure Performance Report

Reports were received at each meeting outlining performance against the Mental Health (Wales) Measure 2010. For adult services (generally provided by the Mental Health and Learning Disability Delivery Unit) the health board showed strong performance across all measures overall. During the period, there was a slight dip in Part 2 compliance (which relates to Care and Treatment Plans), but members felt assured action was being undertaken within the delivery unit to address the performance.

For CAMHS (child and adolescent mental health services, which are provided by Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board as a commissioned service), performance has been more variable.

Governance of Other Groups and Committees

i. Hospital Manager's Powers of Discharge Committee

The committee received and reviewed the minutes of the Power of Discharge

Committee following each meeting.

During 2019-20, members raised some concerns regarding the role and functioning of this committee and it was agreed that consideration would be taken to review the governance arrangements including the operation of the committee, membership and whether it was fit for purpose. The aim would be to re-engineer the committee to ensure it was fully fulfilling its terms of reference. Work was undertaken within the Corporate Governance Department to strengthen the committee and this included a revised terms of reference, and the development of the Hospital Managers Policy.

ii. Matters Arising from the Operational Group

The committee received verbal updates from the operational group within the Mental Health and Learning Disabilities Unit.

Other Matters

i. Care and Treatment Plans (Including Children And Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS))

The committee received reports following a recent All Wales review of Care and Treatment Plans (CTPs) by the NHS Wales Delivery Unit. The review set out a number of key recommendations for care and treatment planning across Wales and the health board. Locality action plans have been developed which incorporate the recommendations. The committee were advised of the good progress being made in this area. Members were advised that a key focus in this area was the quality of the care and treatment plans (CTPs). Work streams were underway within the unit with a focus on three key areas, service user engagement, carer involvement and risk assessments. The unit's Quality and Safety Group would be monitoring progress going forward.

ii. Safeguarding Training Needs Analysis

Across all the legislative areas, the committee still has great concern over the coverage and compliance with mental health training, particularly outside the mental health delivery unit. This underpins our performance under the Mental Health Act and the Mental Capacity Act. In May 2019, the committee requested the undertaking of an intensive safeguarding training needs analysis across all delivery units; this would then provide a baseline to map compliance. Results of the analysis were disappointing due to the lack of returns and engagement from the service delivery units but it was brought to attention that Level 2 safeguarding training was not mandatory at an all Wales level. Members agreed that a recommendation should be made to the Workforce and OD Committee to consider making Level 2 mandatory across the board.

4. Conclusion

This report demonstrates that the committee fulfilled its responsibilities through the reports it had received during the year from various services and sources.

Appendix 1

Mental Health and Capacity Legislation - Definitions**Mental Health Act**

- 1.4 The Mental Health Act 1983 covers the detention of people deemed a risk to themselves or others. It sets out the legal framework to allow the care and treatment of mentally disordered persons. It also provides the legislation by which people suffering from a mental disorder can be detained in hospital to have their disorder assessed or treated against their wishes.
- 1.5 The MHA introduced the concept of “Hospital Managers” which for hospitals managed by a Local Health Board are the Board Members. The term “Hospital Managers” does not occur in any other legislation.
- 1.6 Hospital Managers have a central role in operating the provisions of the MHA; specifically, they have the authority to detain patients admitted and transferred under the MHA. For those patients who become subject to Supervised Community Treatment (SCT), the Hospital Managers are those of the hospital where the patient was detained immediately before going on to SCT - i.e. the responsible hospital or the hospital to which responsibility has subsequently been assigned.
- 1.7 Hospital Managers must ensure that patients are detained only as the MHA allows, that their treatment and care is fully compliant with the MHA and that patients are fully informed of and supported in exercising their statutory rights. Hospital Managers must also ensure that a patient’s case is dealt with in line with associated legislation.
- 1.8 With the exception of the power of discharge, arrangements for authorising day to day decisions made on behalf of Hospital Managers have been set out in the UHB Scheme of Delegation.

Mental Health Measure

- 1.9 The Mental Health (Wales) Measure received Royal Assent in December 2010 and is concerned with:
 - providing mental health services at an earlier stage for individuals who are experiencing mental health problems to reduce the risk of further decline in mental health;
 - ensuring provision for care and treatment plans for those in secondary mental health care and ensure those previously discharged from secondary mental health services have access to those services when they believe their mental health may be deteriorating;
 - extending mental health advocacy provision.

Mental Capacity Act

1.10 The MCA came into force mainly in October 2007. It was amended by the Mental Health Act 2007 to include the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS). DoLS came into force in April 2009.

1.11 The MCA covers three main issues –

- The process to be followed where there is doubt about a person's decision-making abilities and decisions may need to be made for them (e.g. about treatment and care)
- How people can make plans and/or appoint other people to make decisions for them at a time in the future when they can't take their own decisions
- The legal framework for caring for adult, mentally disordered, incapacitated people in situations where they are deprived of their liberty in hospitals or care homes (DoLS)

Thus the scope of MCA extends beyond those patients who have a mental disorder.

Glossary of Terms

Definition	Meaning
Informal patient	Someone who is being treated for mental disorder in hospital and who is not detained under the Act
Detained patient	A patient who is detained in hospital under the Act or who is liable to be detained in hospital but who is currently out of hospital eg on Section 17 leave
Section 135	Allows for magistrate to issue a warrant authorising a policeman to enter premises, using force if necessary, for the purpose of removing a mentally disordered person to a place of safety for a period not exceeding 72 hours, providing a means by which an entry which would otherwise be a trespass, becomes a lawful act.
Section 135(1)	Used where there is concern about the well being a person who is not liable to be detained under the Act so that he/she can be examined by a doctor and interviewed by an Approved mental Health Professional in order that arrangements can be made for his/her treatment or care.
Section 135 (2)	Used where the person is liable to be detained, or is required to reside at a certain place under the terms of guardianship, or is subject to a community treatment order or Scottish legislation. In both instances, the person can be transferred to another place of safety during the 72 hour period.
Section 136	Empowers a policeman to remove a person from a public place to a place of safety if he considers that the person is suffering from mental disorder and is in immediate need of care and control. The power is available whether or not the person has, or is suspected of having committed a criminal offence. The person can be detained in a place of safety for up to 72 hours so that he can/she can be examined by a doctor and interviewed by an Approved Mental Health Professional in order that arrangements can be made for his/her treatment or care. The detained person can be transferred to another place of safety as long as the 72 hour period has not expired.
Part 2 of the Mental Health Act 1983	This part of the Act deals with detention, guardianship and supervised community treatment for civil patients. Some aspects of Part 2 also apply to some patients who have been detained or made subject to guardianship by the courts or who have been transferred from prison to detention in hospital by the Secretary of State for Justice under Part 3 of the Act. As part 2 patient is a civil patient who became subject to

	compulsory measures under the Act as a result of an application for detention by a nearest relative or an approved mental health professional founded on medical recommendations.
Section 5(4)	<p>Provides for registered nurses whose field of practice is mental health or learning disabilities to invoke a holding power for a period of not more than 6 hours by completing the statutory document required.</p> <p>During this period the medical practitioner or approved clinician in charge, or his or her nominated deputy should examine the patient with a view to making a report under section 5(2).</p> <p>Alternatively a patient can be detained under section 2 or 3 if a full Mental Health Act assessment is achieved during the 6 hour period.</p>
Section 5(2)	<p>Enables an informal inpatient to be detained for up to 72 hours if the doctor or approved clinician in charge of the patient's treatment reports that an application under section 2 or 3 ought to be made.</p> <p>The purpose of this holding power is to prevent a patient from discharging him/herself from hospital before there is time to arrange for an application under section 2 or section 3 to be made. As soon as the power is invoked, arrangements should be made for the patient to be assessed by a potential applicant and recommending doctors.</p>
Section 4	<p>In cases of urgent necessity, this section provides for the compulsory admission of a person to hospital for assessment for a period of up to 72 hours.</p> <p>An application under this section should only be made when the criteria for admission for assessment are met, the matter is urgent and it would be unsafe to wait for a second medical recommendation i.e where the patient's urgent need for assessment outweighs the alternative of waiting for a medical recommendation by a second doctor.</p> <p>A psychiatric emergency arises when the mental state or behaviour of a patient cannot be immediately managed. To be satisfied that an emergency has arisen, there must be evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An immediate and significant risk of mental or physical harm to the patient or to others • And/or the immediate and significant danger of serious harm to the property • And/or the need for physical restraint of the patient. <p>Section 4 cannot be renewed at the end of the 72 hour period. If compulsory detention is to be continued, the application must either be converted into a section 2 (admission for assessment) with the addition of a second medical recommendation, in which case the patient can be detained for a maximum of 28 days under that section beginning with the date of admission under section 4 or an</p>

	<p>application for treatment under section 3 should be made. The Act does not provide for a section 4 to be converted into a section 3 because the criteria for admission under each of these sections are different.</p>
Section 2	<p>Authorises the compulsory admission of a patient to hospital for assessment or for assessment followed by medical treatment for mental disorder for up to 28 days. Provisions within this section allow for an application to be made for discharge to the Hospital Managers or Mental Health Review Tribunal for Wales.</p> <p>If after the 28 days have elapsed, the patient is to remain in hospital, he or she must do so, either as an informal patient or as a detained patient under Section 3 if the grounds and criteria for that section have been met.</p> <p>The purpose of the section is limited to the assessment of a patient's condition to ascertain whether the patient would respond to treatment and whether an application under section 3 would be appropriate.</p> <p>Section 2 cannot be renewed and there is nothing in the Act that justifies successive applications for section 2 being made.</p> <p>The role of the nearest relative is an important safeguard but there are circumstance in which the county court has the powers to appoint another person to carry out the functions of the nearest relative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The patient has no nearest relative within the meaning of the Act • It is not reasonably practicable to find out if they have such a relative or who that relative is • The nearest relative is unable to act due to mental disorder or illness • The nearest relative of the person unreasonably objects to an application for section 3 or guardianship • The nearest relative has exercised their power to discharge the person from hospital or guardianship without due regard to the persons welfare or the public interest <p>This procedure may have the effect of extending the authority to detain under section 2 until the application to the County Court to appoint another person is finally disposed of. Patients admitted under section 2 are subject to the consent to treatment provisions in Part 4 of the Act.</p>
Section 3	<p>Provides for the compulsory admission of a patient to a hospital named in the application for treatment for mental disorder. Section 3 provides clear grounds and criteria for admission, safeguards for patients and there are strict provisions for review and appeal.</p> <p>Patients detained under this section are subject to the consent to treatment provisions contained in Part 4 of the Act below.</p>

Supervised Community Treatment (SCT)	Provides a framework to treat and safely manage suitable patients who have already been detained in hospital in the community. SCT provides clear criteria for eligibility and safeguards for patients as well as strict provisions for review and appeal, in the same way as for detained patients.
Community Treatment Order (CTO)	Written authorisation on a prescribed form for the discharge of a patient from detention in a hospital onto SCT.
Section 17E (recall of a community patient to hospital)	Provides that a Responsible Clinician may recall a patient to hospital in the following circumstances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the RC decides that the person needs to receive treatment for his or her mental disorder in hospital and without such treatment there would be a risk of harm to the health or safety of the patient or to other people. • Where the patient fails to comply with the mandatory conditions set out in section 17B (3)
Revocation	Is the rescinding of a CTO when a SCT patient needs further treatment in hospital under the Act. If a patient's CTO is revoked the patient is detained under the powers of the Act in the same way as before the CTO was made.
Part 3 of the Act	Deals with the circumstances in which mentally disordered offenders and defendants in criminal proceedings may be admitted to and detained in hospital or received into guardianship on the order of the court. It also allows the Secretary of State for Justice to transfer people from prison to detention in hospital for treatment for mental disorder. Part 3 patients can either be restricted, which means that they are subject to special restrictions on when they can be discharged, given leave of absence and various other matters, or they can be unrestricted, in which case they are treated for the most part like a part 2 patient.
Section 35	Empowers a Crown Court or Magistrates Court to remand an accused person to hospital for the preparation of a report on his mental condition if there is reason to suspect that the accused person is suffering from a mental disorder.
Section 36	Empowers a Crown Court to remand an accused person who is in custody either awaiting trial or during the course of a trial and who is suffering from mental disorder, to hospital for treatment.
Section 37	Empowers a Crown Court or Magistrates Court to make a hospital or guardianship order as an alternative to a penal disposal for offenders who are found to be suffering from mental disorder at the time of sentencing.
Section 38	Empowers a Crown Court or Magistrates Court to send a convicted offender to hospital to enable an assessment to be made on the appropriateness of making a hospital order or direction.
Section 41	Empowers the Crown Court, having made a hospital order under s.37, to make a further order restricting the patients

	<p>discharge, transfer or leave of absence from hospital without the consent of the Secretary of State for Justice.</p> <p>Section 41 can also operate as a community section for people who were originally on section 37/41. When a section 37/41 is conditionally discharged it leaves the powers of Section 41 in place. This means that the person can leave hospital and live in the community but with a number of conditions placed upon them.</p>
Section 45A	This is a court sentence to hospital for someone with a mental disorder at any time after admission, if the Responsible Clinician considers the treatment is no longer required or beneficial, the person can be transferred back to prison to serve the remainder of their sentence.
Section 47	Enables the Secretary of State for Justice to direct that a person serving a sentence of imprisonment or other detention be removed to and detained in a hospital to receive medical treatment for mental disorder.
Section 48	Empowers the Secretary of State for Justice to direct the removal from prison to hospital of certain categories of un-sentenced mentally disordered prisoners to receive medical treatment.
Section 49	Enables the Secretary of State for Justice to add an order restricting the patients discharge from hospital to a S.47 or S.48
CPI Act	<p>Criminal Procedure (Insanity) Act 1964. This Act as amended by the Criminal Procedures (Insanity and Unfitness to Plead) Act 1991 and the Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004 provides for persons who are found unfit to be tried or not guilty by reason of insanity in respect of criminal charges. The court has three disposal options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To make a hospital order under section 37 of the MHA 1983 which can be accompanied by a restriction order under section 41. • To make a supervision order so that the offenders responsible officer will supervise him only to the extent necessary for revoking or amending the order. • Order the absolute discharge of the accused.
CTO (section 37)	Once an offender is admitted to hospital on a hospital order without restriction on discharge, his or her position is the same as if a civil patient, effectively moving from the penal into the hospital system. He or she may therefore be suitable for supervised Community Treatment (SCT)
Administrative Scrutiny	To be confirmed
Section 58(3) (a)	Certificate of consent to treatment (RC)
Section 58 (3) (b)	Certificate of second opinion (SOAD authorisation)
Section 58A(3)(c)	Certificate of consent to treatment, patients at least 18 years of age (RC)
Section 58A(4)(c)	Certificate of consent to treatment and second opinion,

	patients under 18 years of age (SOAD)
Section 58A(5)	Certificate of second opinion (patients not capable of understanding the nature, purpose and likely effects of the treatment) (SOAD)
Part 4A	Certificate of appropriateness of treatment to be given to a community patient (SOAD)
Section 62 – Urgent Treatment	<p>Where treatment is immediately necessary, a statutory certificate is not required if the treatment in question is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To save the patient's life • Or to prevent a serious deterioration of the patient's condition, and the treatment does not have unfavourable physical or psychological consequences which cannot be reversed and does not entail significant physical hazard • Or to prevent the patient behaving violently or being a danger to themselves or others, and the treatment represents the minimum interference necessary for that purpose, does not have unfavourable physical or psychological consequences which cannot be reversed and does not entail significant physical hazard.
Section 23	<p>Provides for the absolute discharge from detention, guardianship or from a community treatment order of certain patients, by the Responsible Clinician, the Hospital Managers (or Local Social Services Authority for guardianship patients) or the patients nearest relative. The discharge must be ordered; it cannot be affected by implication.</p> <p>Section 23 does not apply to patients who have been remanded to hospital by the courts or to patients subject to interim hospital orders.</p> <p>The Secretary of State for Justice has powers to discharge restricted patients under section 42(2)</p> <p>If at any time Responsible Clinicians conclude that the criteria justifying the continued detention or community treatment order are not met, they should exercise their power of discharge and not wait until such time that the detention order or SCT is due to expire.</p>
Section 117	Services provided following discharge from hospital; especially the duty of health and social services to provide after-care under section 117 of the Act following the discharge of a patient from detention for treatment under the Act. The duty applies to SCT patients and conditionally discharged patients as well as those who have been absolutely discharged.



Hospital Managers Powers of Discharge Committee Annual Report 2019-20



GIG
CYMRU
NHS
WALES

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol
Bae Abertawe
Swansea Bay University
Health Board

Contents

Introduction	Page 3
Committee Structure	Page 3
Reports Received	Page 3
Conclusion	Page 4

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The principle remit of the Hospital Managers Power of Discharge Committee is to satisfy the board that the processes employed by the committee, tasked with considering whether the power of discharge should be used, are fair, reasonable and exercised lawfully.
- 1.2 It is a sub-committee of the Mental Health and Capacity Act Legislative Committee to which it provides regular updates to give assurance to the board.
- 1.3 During 2019-20, the committee met its responsibility by fulfilling its role as outlined in its terms of reference.
- 1.4 The annual report summarises the key issues discussed at each meeting.

2. Committee Structure

- 2.1 The membership of the Hospital Managers Powers of Discharge Committee comprises two Independent Members of the board:

- Jackie Davies, Independent Member; (committee chair);
- Maggie Berry, Independent Member

Also in attendance are hospital managers and nominated members of the mental health and learning disabilities directorates.

- 2.2 The secretary to the committee was Lynda Rogan, Mental Health Act manager.

- 2.3 The terms of reference required the committee to meet at six monthly intervals and this requirement was met during 2019-2020 with committee meeting on the three occasions;

- 23rd April 2019;
- 13th August 2019;
- 11th February 2020.

During the early stages of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, it was necessary to suspend Hospital Managers' hearings, also known as Associate Managers' hearings, to limit the spread of the virus.

However, due to the anticipated backlog of hearings SBUHB took the decision to reinstate Hospital Managers' Hearings, through the use of Microsoft Teams, and telephone conferencing.

Over the past few months, the Mental Health Act Team has been successfully using Microsoft Teams as a platform for holding Hospital Managers Hearings.

Overall, the response from Hospital Managers has been positive and enthusiastic, with the majority of panel members already experienced in the use of Teams.

For those who did not have previous experience of using Teams, the Mental Health Act team have been able to offer support assisted by the Informatics Department, which has been well received by panel members.

Hospital Managers Hearings were successfully reinstated on 14 May 2020.

A member of the Mental Health Act Team is present as clerk for each hearing, to ensure the efficient running of the process, and to guide all participants through each stage.

The 'backlog' of some 20+ hearings have been quickly and efficiently cleared within the first few weeks of start-up, and hearings have continued on a regular basis to date.

3. Reports Received

3.1 The committee received the following reports;

a) Information Governance

Members were informed of the governance risks surrounding the use of paper copies for patient reviews and were advised consideration was being taken on the cost effectiveness of using I-Pads, as well the information governance standards. It was agreed that I-Pads would be purchased and the use of an electronic system for documentation would be implemented.

b) Hospital Managers Remuneration

During the period, remuneration for attendance at hospital managers' panel hearings was discussed and referred to the Mental Health Legislation Committee to be reviewed in line with other health boards.

c) Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (Appendix 1) had been reviewed and the need for a third independent member had been highlighted. During the course of the year, the TOR had been revised in order to align with other health boards in Wales. The revised version (Appendix 1) was approved at the Mental Health Legislation Committee in November 2019.

d) Report of the Use of the Mental Health Act

Each meeting included an update with regard to use of the Mental Health Act for the reporting period.

e) Audit of Discharges by the Mental Health Review Tribunal

The group discussed patients who had been discharged by the tribunal.

f) Standards of Medical Reports for Hearings

Concerns were raised with the quality and format of the medical reports for hearings. It advised that an audit would be undertaken in which there would be a recommendation for a best practice template.

g) Training Requirement for Hospital Managers

Members attended the all Wales hospital managers training event sponsored by Welsh Government during the period.

h) Issues Relating to Specific Hospital Managers Hearings

Issues arising from hospital managers' hearings were discussed with the committee and actions agreed. In particular, the members raised concerns with regards to the clerking of the hospital managers' hearings and also hospital managers' availability.

During the early stages of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, it was necessary to suspend Hospital Managers' hearings, also known as Associate Managers' hearings, to limit the spread of the virus.

However, due to the anticipated backlog of hearings SBUHB took the decision to reinstate Hospital Managers' Hearings, through the use of Microsoft Teams, and telephone conferencing.

Over the past few months, the Mental Health Act Team has been successfully using Microsoft Teams as a platform for holding Hospital Managers Hearings.

Overall, the response from Hospital Managers has been positive and enthusiastic, with the majority of panel members already experienced in the use of Teams.

For those who did not have previous experience of using Teams, the Mental Health Act team have been able to offer support assisted by the Informatics Department, which has been well received by panel members.

Hospital Managers Hearings were successfully reinstated on 14 May 2020. A member of the Mental Health Act Team is present as clerk for each hearing, to ensure the efficient running of the process, and to guide all participants through each stage.

The 'backlog' of some 20+ hearings have been quickly and efficiently cleared within the first few weeks of start-up, and hearings have continued on a regular basis to date.

3.2 Reports received for information;

i) The Independent Review of the Mental Health Act 1983 Final Report 28th December 2018

The group considered the summary of the key proposals and recommendations of the final report on the independent review of the Mental Health Act 1983.

j) Radcliffe LeBrasseur Article : Bringing Proceedings before the First-Tier Tribunal. A question of capacity.

The group considered the judgement delivered in VS v St Andrew's Healthcare, which concerns the capacity required by a patient to bring proceedings before the First-Tier Tribunal.

k) Radcliffe LeBrasseur Article – Inherent Jurisdictions and the use of conditional discharge for patient with capacity.

The group considered the judgement delivered in *Hertfordshire County Council v AB* on whether the High Court's inherent jurisdiction can be used to authorise a deprivation of liberty of a patient with capacity who is subject to a conditional discharge under the Mental Health Act 1983.

j) Welsh Government Statistics – Patients in mental health hospitals and units in Wales

The group considered the annual report on the summer results from the 2018 and 2019 Psychiatric Census showing a snapshot of residents in NHS hospitals and private units for people with mental health or a learning disability.

l) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Perinatal Mental Health Services – Where are we in Wales?

The group considered the summary on the progress of recommendations provided in the October 2017 report on perinatal mental health services. Members were informed that Swansea Bay Health Board was taking the lead in this area.

m) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Community Treatment Orders and Deprivation of Liberty

The group considered the judgement delivered in *Welsh Ministers v PJ* [2018] UKSC 66 which concerned conditions imposed under a CTO (community treatment order) that amounted to a deprivation of liberty.

n) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Restraint and Restrictive Practices

The group considered the summary of the Mental Health Units (Use of Force) Act 2018 about the management and appropriate use of force in relation to people in mental health units and similar institutions.

o) Case Law – PB v Priory Group Ltd [2018] MHLO 74

The group considered the case law for damages for unlawful psychiatric detention and breach of Article 5 ECHR, HRA 1998 and noted the importance of following due process when applying the Mental Health Act.

p) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Segregation may be breaching human rights

The group considered the interim findings of CQC (Care Quality Commission) about the segregation in mental health wards of young people and people with a learning disability or autism.

q) Welsh Government Statistics: Admissions of patients to mental health facilities in Wales 2017-2018

The group considered the summary information on the number of patients admitted to mental health facilities in Wales both formally and informally, and patients subject to community treatment orders.

r) Welsh Government Statistics: Patients in mental health hospitals and units in Wales, at 31 March 2019

The group considered the summary result from the 2019 Psychiatric Census snapshot as at 31 March 2019, the census is taken of residents in NHS hospitals and units for people with a mental illness or a learning disability.

s) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Reform of the Mental Health Act 1983

The group considered details of the recommendations that are likely to be included in the amendments to the Mental Health Act. The timescale for the implementation of any new legislation has yet to be confirmed.

t) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Extension of the Article 2 ECHR boundaries

The group considered the judgement delivered in *Rabone & Anor v Pennine Care NHS Trust* and *R (Lee) v HM Assistant Coroner Sunderland*. These concerned situations where a mental health patient was neither admitted nor detained in hospital and the systemic duty imposed on the State by Article 2 to provide an effective regulatory framework for the protection of people's lives.

u) RadcliffeLeBrasseur Article: Mandatory training on autism and learning disabilities for all health and social care staff

The group considered the article which reminded decision makers just how important the Codes of Practice are.

v) Peter Edwards Law Article – Will I get into trouble if I don't follow them?

The group considered the case law for damages for unlawful psychiatric detention and breach of Article 5 ECHR, HRA 1998 and noted the importance of following due process when applying the Mental Health Act.

4. Conclusion

This report demonstrates that the committee fulfilled its responsibilities through the reports it had received during the year from various services and sources.